arXiv:1407.0806v3 [math.RT] 28 May 2015

# DECORATED MARKED SURFACES: SPHERICAL TWISTS VERSUS BRAID TWISTS

YU QIU

Abstract. We are interested in the 3-Calabi-Yau categories $\mathcal{D}$ arising from quivers with potential associated to a triangulated marked surface $\mathbf{S}$ (without punctures). We prove that the spherical twist group ST of $\mathcal{D}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup (generated by braid twists) of the mapping class group of the decorated marked surface $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$. Here $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ is the surface obtained from $\mathbf{S}$ by decorating with a set of points, where the number of points equals the number of triangles in any triangulations of $\mathbf{S}$. For instance, when $\mathbf{S}$ is an annulus, the result implies the corresponding spaces of stability conditions on $\mathcal{D}$ is contractible.

*Key words:* Calabi-Yau categories, spherical twists, quivers with potential, braid group, stability conditions

## 1. Introduction

1.1. **Calabi-Yau (CY) categories from mirror symmetry.** We are interested in a class of 3-Calabi-Yau categories $\mathcal{D}$ arises from (homological) mirror symmetry. These 3-CY categories are not only interested in mathematics ([20] and [26]), but also in the string theory ([9], cf. [2]). On the symplectic geometry side, the category $\mathcal{D}$ (of type A) was first studied by Khovanov-Seidel (KS) [20]. They showed that there is a faithful braid group action on $\mathcal{D}$. Moreover, when realizing $\mathcal{D}$ as the subcategory of the derived Fukaya category of the Milnor fibre of a simple singularities of type A, such a braid group is generated by the (higher) Dehn twists along certain Langragian spheres. On the algebraic geometry side, Seidel-Thomas [26] studied the mirror counterpart of [20] (also in type A). They showed that $\mathcal{D}$ can be realized as a subcategory of the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves of the mirror variety with a faithful braid group action. Recently, Smith [27] showed that if $\mathcal{D}$ is coming from triangulations of marked surfaces $\mathbf{S}$, then it also can be embedded into some derived Fukaya category. This class of cases are the ones we will study. Our focus is on the spherical twist group $\mathrm{ST} \subset \mathrm{Aut}\,\mathcal{D}$, a subgroup of the auto-equivalence group of $\mathcal{D}$ generated by Khovanov-Seidel-Thomas (KST) spherical twists. The aim is to generalize KST's result, that ST is 'faithful', in the sense that ST is isomorphic to the classical (type A) braid group (and in general, isomorphic to a subgroup of certain mapping class group). We need to restrict ourself in the case when marked surfaces are unpunctured. In the twin paper [18], we will make an effort to attack the problem when the marked surfaces are punctured.

Note that the spherical twist group ST acts freely on the space $\mathrm{Stab}^\circ\,\mathcal{D}$ of Bridgeland's stability condition of $\mathcal{D}$. This is one of our main motivations to study such a

*Date*: May 29, 2015.

group. In fact, Bridgeland-Smith (BS) [2] recently showed that the quotient (orbifold) $\mathrm{Stab}^\circ \mathcal{D} \,/\, \mathrm{Aut}^\circ$ is isomorphism to the moduli space $\mathrm{Quad}(\mathbf{S})$ of meromorphic quadratic differentials with simple zeroes on the marked surfaces $\mathbf{S}$, where $\mathrm{Aut}^\circ \mathcal{D}$ is the extension of the (tagged) mapping class group of $\mathbf{S}$ on top of ST. And one would expect that the faithfulness of spherical twist group actions will imply the simply connectedness of $\mathrm{Stab}^\circ \mathcal{D}$. For instance, this implication holds for the (3-CY) Dynkin case (see [21]); also, such faithfulness (and its implication of simply connectedness) was proved by Brav-Thomas [1] for the 2-CY Dynkin case and by Ishii-Ueda-Uehara [11] for the 2-CY affine $\widetilde{A}$ case.

Our main result says that ST is isomorphic to a subgroup of the mapping class group of some surface. As an example, we will show the contractibility of the corresponding $\mathrm{Stab}^\circ \mathcal{D}$ in this paper. In the sequel, we will prove that this result indeed implies the simply connectedness of $\mathrm{Stab}^\circ \mathcal{D}$ for any unpuncutred marked surface $\mathbf{S}$.

1.2. **Quivers with potential and categorification of cluster algebras.** Quiver mutation was invented by Fomin-Zelevinsky (FZ) around 2000, as the combinatorial aspect of cluster algebras. Later, mutation was developed by Derksen-Weyman-Zelevinsky (DWZ) for quivers with potential.

The first (additive) categorification of cluster algebras (with certain associated acyclic quivers) was due to Buan-Marsh-Reineke-Reiten-Todorov, via representation of the corresponding quivers. Amiot introduced the generalized cluster categories via Ginzburg dg algebras for quivers with potential. In her construction, the cluster category $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ is defined by the following short exact sequence of triangulated categories

$$0 \to \mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma) \to \mathrm{per}\,\Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{C}(\Gamma) \to 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Gamma = \Gamma(Q, W)$ is the Ginzburg dg algebra of the quiver with potential and $\mathrm{per}\,\Gamma$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$ are the perfect (resp. finite-dimensional) derived category of $\Gamma$. Here, $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$ is the 3-CY category we mentioned above and also provides a categorification for cluster algebras.

There is an exchange graph associated to each of the categories in (1.1), namely:

- the reachable hearts/t-structures in $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$ as vertices and simple tilting as edges for the exchange graph $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma))$;
- the reachable silting sets in $\mathrm{per}\,\Gamma$ as vertices and mutation as edges for the silting exchange graph $\mathrm{SEG}^\circ(\mathrm{per}(\Gamma))$;
- the cluster tilting sets in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ as vertices and mutation as edges for the cluster exchange graph $\mathrm{CEG}(\mathcal{C}(\Gamma))$.

They play a crucial role in categorifying cluster algebras, understanding quantum dilogarithm identities and computing stability conditions. By simple-projective duality, there is a canonical isomorphism between the first two graphs. Moreover, they are coverings of the third (cf. [17]) by the spherical twist group action we mentioned above.

1.3. **Triangulations of marked surfaces.** A geometric aspect of cluster theory was explored by Fomin-Shapiro-Thurston (FST). They constructed a quiver $Q_{\mathbf{T}}$ for each (tagged) triangulation $\mathbf{T}$ of a marked surface $\mathbf{S}$ and showed that flipping triangulations corresponds to FZ mutation of quivers. Here, the marked surface $\mathbf{S}$ is a surface with

marked points on its boundaries and punctures in its interior. Further, Labardini-Fragoso gave a rigid potential $W_{\mathbf{T}}$ for each FST quiver $Q_{\mathbf{T}}$, which is the unique 'good' (rigid, to be precise) one (cf. [10]), that is compatible with DWZ mutation. Then one can construct the Ginzburg dg algebra $\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}} = \Gamma(Q_{\mathbf{T}}, W_{\mathbf{T}})$ and the associated categories, as in (1.1).

In this paper, we will deal the case when $\mathbf{S}$ is unpunctured and introduce a new surface from $\mathbf{S}$ by decorating it with a set $\triangle$ of points as a topological model for these categories. The number of points in $\triangle$ equals the number of triangles in any triangulation of $\mathbf{S}$. This decorating idea already appeared in various contexts (e.g. Krammer [19] and Gaiotto-Moore-Neitzke [9]). These points should be branched when constructing a double cover of $\mathbf{S}$ (cf. Figure 20); they should also correspond to the simple zeroes of some quadratic differentials (cf. Figure 10). Further, when considering the mapping class group of $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$, these decorating points are serving as punctures in topology; however, we reserve the terminology 'punctures' for the FST setting of marked surfaces.

Denote such a surface by $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ and called it the *decorated marked surface*. A triangulation of $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ is a maximal collection of simple open arcs that divides $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ into triangles such that each triangle contains exactly one decorating point. One important feature of $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ is that flipping a triangulations has directions (cf. § 3.2). Then we obtain a list of correspondences, as shown in Table 1 (some of the correspondences will be given in the second part of the paper). Simple closed arcs, i.e. the simple arcs connecting different decorating points, play a crucial role in the construction/proof of these correspondences. In the theory of BS, they should correspond to stable objects (w.r.t. some stability conditions) and saddle connections (w.r.t. some quadratic differentials).

TABLE 1. Correspondences

| Topological side | | Categorical side |
|---|---|---|
| Braid twists | $\xrightarrow{\cong}$ | Spherical twists |
| Simple closed arcs in $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ | $\xrightarrow[\text{up to [1]}]{\text{1-1}}$ | Spherical obj. in $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$ |
| Dual Tri. with Whitehead moves | | Hearts with simple tilting |
| graph dual $\updownarrow$ | | $\updownarrow$ sim.-proj. dual |
| Reachable open arcs in $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text{1-1}}$ | Reachable ind. in $\operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}$ |
| Triangulations with flips | | Silting with mutation |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$ <br> forgetful map $\downarrow$ <br> $\mathbf{S}$ | | $\operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}$ <br> $\downarrow$ quotient map <br> $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{S}}$ |
| Open arcs in $\mathbf{S}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text{1-1}}$ | Rigid ind. in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{S}}$ |
| Triangulations with flips | | Cluster tilting with mutation |

1.4. **The project: decorated marked surfaces.** This paper initializes a project: **DMS**=decorated marked surfaces. The plan of this first paper is as follows:

§ 2 We review the relative background.
§ 3 We introduce the decorated marked surfaces $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$.
§ 4 We study the braid twist groups of $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$.
§ 5 We construct the string model, that associates a spherical object $X_\eta$ (up to shifts) in the 3-CY category $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_\mathbf{T})$ to a closed arc $\eta$ in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$.
§ 6 We prove the main result of the paper, Theorem 1 below (cf. Theorem 6.7 and Remark 6.8).
§ 7 We analysis several special cases.
§ 8 We discuss the stability conditions and prove (Theorem 8.3) that the space of stability condition is contractible in the CY-3 affine $\widetilde{A}$ case.
§ 9 We give the technical proof of Proposition 5.9.
§ 10 We discuss some further studies.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose* $\mathbf{S}$ *is a marked surface without punctures and* $\mathbf{T}$ *a triangulation of its decorated version* $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$*. There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\iota\colon \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T}) \to \mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_\mathbf{T}), \tag{1.2}$$

*sending the standard generators (i.e. braid twists of the closed arcs* $\eta$ *in the dual* $\mathbf{T}^*$*) to the standard generators (i.e. spherical twists of the corresponding spherical objects* $X_\eta$*).*

The topics/plan for the sequels are:

**DMS:** (Second part, i.e. Appendix A in this version) We give topological realization of silting objects in $\mathrm{per}(\Gamma_\mathbf{T})$, simple-projective duality for $\Gamma_\mathbf{T}$ and Amoit's quotient $\pi$ in (1.1) that defines cluster categories.

**DMS II:** We prove Conjecture 10.5 and Conjecture 10.6, that the dimensions of homomorphisms between objects in $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ equals the intersection numbers between the corresponding arcs in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$. This is a joint work with Y. Zhou.

**DMS III:** We show that there is an unique canonical way to identify $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\mathbf{T})$, for any triangulation $\mathbf{T}$ in $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$. Thus, one can associate an unique 3-Calabi-Yau category $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ to $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$. As an application, we show that the spherical twist group $\mathrm{ST}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ acts faithfully on the corresponding space $\mathrm{Stab}^\circ \mathcal{D}_{fd}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ of stability conditions. This is a joint work with A. Buan.

**DMS IV:** We prove the simply connectedness of $\mathrm{Stab}^\circ \mathcal{D}_{fd}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ by calculating the fundamental group of the space $\mathrm{Quad}(\mathbf{S})$ of quadratic differentials. This is a joint work with T. Bridgeland and I. Smith.

**Acknowledgements.** This work was inspired during joint working with Alastair King on the twin paper [18], which deals punctured marked surfaces. I would like to thank my collaborators mentioned above, as well as Dong Yang and Bernhard Keller for inspirative conversations.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Quivers with potential and Ginzburg algebras.** Fix an algebraically closed filed $\mathbf{k}$ and all categories are $\mathbf{k}$-linear. Denote by $\Gamma = \Gamma(Q, W)$ the *Ginzburg dg algebra*

*(of degree 3)* associated to a quiver with potential $(Q, W)$, which is constructed as follows (cf. [16]):

- Let $Q^3$ be the graded quiver whose vertex set is $Q_0$ and whose arrows are:
  - the arrows in $Q_1$ with degree 0;
  - an arrow $a^* : j \to i$ with degree $-1$ for each arrow $a : i \to j$ in $Q_1$;
  - a loop $e_i^* : i \to i$ with degree $-2$ for each vertex $i$ in $Q_0$.

  The underlying graded algebra of $\Gamma(Q, W)$ is the completion of the graded path algebra $\mathbf{k}Q^3$ in the category of graded vector spaces w.r.t. the ideal generated by the arrow of $Q^3$.
- The differential of $\Gamma(Q, W)$ is the unique continuous linear endomorphism, homogeneous of degree 1, which satisfies the Leibniz rule and takes the following values
  - $\mathrm{d}\, a = 0$ for any $a \in Q_1$,
  - $\mathrm{d}\, a^* = \partial_a W$ for any $a \in Q_1$ and
  - $\mathrm{d} \sum_{e \in Q_0} e^* = \sum_{a \in Q_1} [a, a^*]$.

**Example 2.1.** Let $Q$ be a 3-cycle with edges $a, b, c$ and the potential $W = abc$. Then the (graded) quiver $Q^3$ is

$Q^3$: 

(2.1)

and the (non-trivial) differentials are

$$\begin{gathered} d(a^*) = bc,\ d(b^*) = ca,\ d(c^*) = ab, \\ d(f_1) = cc^* - b^*b,\ d(f_2) = bb^* - a^*a,\ d(f_1) = aa^* - c^*c. \end{gathered} \tag{2.2}$$

In this paper, the quivers with potential we are considering are *rigid* (and hence *non-degenerated*), which basically means that they behave nicely under mutation, in the sense of DWZ. For details about these notions, see, e.g. [16] and [10].

2.2. **The 3-Calabi-Yau categories.** A triangulated category $\mathcal{D}$ is called *$N$-Calabi-Yau* ($N$-CY) if, for any objects $X, X'$ in $\mathcal{D}$ we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{S} : \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet_{\mathcal{D}}(X, X') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet_{\mathcal{D}}(X', X)^\vee[N]. \tag{2.3}$$

Note that the graded dual of a graded $\mathbf{k}$-vector space $V = \oplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V_k[k]$ is

$$V^\vee = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V_k^*[-k].$$

Further, an object $S$ is *$N$-spherical* if $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(S, S) = \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}[-N]$ and (2.3) holds functorially for $X = S$ and $X'$ in $\mathcal{D}$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$ the finite-dimensional derived category of $\Gamma$. It is well-known that this is a 3-CY category. We also know that (see, e.g. [15]) $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$ admits a canonical heart $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ generated by simple $\Gamma$-modules $S_e$, for $e \in Q_0$,

each of which is 3-spherical. Recall that the *twist functor $\phi$ of a spherical object $S$* is defined by

$$\phi_S(X) = \mathrm{Cone}\left(S \otimes \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(S, X) \to X\right) \tag{2.4}$$

with inverse

$$\phi_S^{-1}(X) = \mathrm{Cone}\left(X \to S \otimes \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X, S)^\vee\right)[-1]$$

Denote by $\mathrm{ST}(\Gamma)$ the spherical twist group of $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$ in $\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$, generated by $\{\phi_{S_e} \mid e \in Q_0\}$. By [26, Lemma 2.11], we have the formula

$$\phi_{\psi(S)} = \psi \circ \phi_S \circ \psi^{-1} \tag{2.5}$$

for any spherical object $S$ and $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}\,\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$.

Denote by $\mathrm{Sph}(\Gamma)$ the set of *reachable* spherical objects in $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$, that is,

$$\mathrm{Sph}(\Gamma) = \mathrm{ST}(\Gamma) \cdot \mathrm{Sim}\,\mathcal{H}_\Gamma, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\mathrm{Sim}\,\mathcal{H}$ denotes the set of simples of an abelian category $\mathcal{H}$.

We have the following observations.

- The the twist functor is well-defined on $\mathrm{Sph}(\Gamma)/[1]$, i.e. $\phi_S = \phi_{S[1]}$.
- Clearly, for any $\phi \in \mathrm{ST}(\Gamma)$ and $X \in \mathrm{Sph}(\Gamma)$, $\phi(X)$ is still in $\mathrm{Sph}(\Gamma)$.
- By (2.5), $\mathrm{ST}(\Gamma)$ is also generated by all $\phi_X$ for $X \in \mathrm{Sph}(\Gamma)$ (cf. [17]).

**Remark 2.2.** Two elements $\psi$ and $\psi'$ in $\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$ are *isotopy*, denote by $\psi \sim \psi'$, if $\psi^{-1} \circ \psi'$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{Sph}(\Gamma)$. In this paper, we will only consider the auto-equivalences up to isotopy, i.e. we will consider $\mathrm{ST}(\Gamma)$ as a subgroup of

$$\mathrm{Aut}^\circ\,\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma) = \mathrm{Aut}\,\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)/\sim. \tag{2.7}$$

However, we will show in the sequel that: the identity is the only spherical twist which acts trivially on $\mathrm{Sph}(\Gamma)$ in our case.

2.3. **Triangulations of marked surfaces.** Throughout the paper, $\mathbf{S}$ denotes a *marked surface* without punctures in the sense of [8], that is, a connected surface with a fixed orientation and a finite set $\mathbf{M}$ of marked point on the (non-empty) boundary $\partial\mathbf{S}$ satisfying that each connected component of $\partial\mathbf{S}$ contains at least one marked point. Up to homeomorphism, $\mathbf{S}$ is determined by the following data

- the genus $g$;
- the number $|\partial\mathbf{S}|$ of boundary components;
- the integer partition of $|\mathbf{M}|$ into $|\partial\mathbf{S}|$ parts describing the number of marked points on its boundary.

As in [8, p5], we will exclude the case when there is no triangulation or there is no arcs in the triangulation. In other wards, we require $n \geq 1$ in (2.8).

An (open) *arc* in $\mathbf{S}$ is a curve (up to homotopy) that connects two marked points in $\mathbf{M}$. The *intersection number* is defined to be

$$\mathrm{Int}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \min\{|\gamma_1' \cap \gamma_2' \cap (\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{M})| \,\Big|\, \gamma_i \sim \gamma_i'\}.$$

An *(ideal) triangulation* $\mathbb{T}$ of $\mathbf{S}$ is a maximal collection of compatible simple arcs. Here, compatible means any two arcs in $\mathbb{T}$ that do not intersect. Moreover, it is well-known



FIGURE 1. The exchange graph of triangulations of a pentagon

that any triangulation $\mathbb{T}$ of $\mathbf{S}$ consists of

$$n = 6g + 3|\partial\mathbf{S}| + |\mathbf{M}| - 6 \tag{2.8}$$

(simple) arcs and divides $\mathbf{S}$ into

$$\aleph = \frac{2n + |\mathbf{M}|}{3} \tag{2.9}$$

triangles. Denote by $\mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S})$ the *exchange graph* of triangulations of $\mathbf{S}$, that is, the unoriented graph whose vertices are triangulation of $\mathbf{S}$ and whose edges correspond to flips (see the lower pictures in Figure 3 for a flip). It is known that $\mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S})$ is connected. If $\mathbf{S}$ is an $(n+3)$-gon, then $\mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S})$ is the associahedron of dimension $n$ (cf. Figure 1).

Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a marked surface and $\mathbb{T}$ a triangulation of $\mathbf{S}$. Then there is an associated quiver $Q_{\mathbb{T}}$ with a potential $W_{\mathbb{T}}$, constructed as follows (See, e.g. [10] or [24] for the precise definition):

- the vertices of $Q_{\mathbb{T}}$ are (indexed by) the arcs in $\mathbb{T}$;
- for each triangle $T$ in $\mathbb{T}$, there are three arrows between the corresponding vertices as shown in Figure 2;
- these three arrows form a 3-cycle in $Q_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $W_{\mathbb{T}}$ is the sum of all such 3-cycles.



FIGURE 2. The (sub-)quiver associated to a triangle (with a potential)

## 3. Triangulations of decorated marked surfaces

3.1. **Decorated marked surfaces.** Recall that any triangulation of $\mathbf{S}$ consists of $\aleph$ triangles, where $\aleph$ is given by the formula (2.9).

**Definition 3.1.** The *decorated marked surface* $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ is a marked surface $\mathbf{S}$ together with a fixed set $\triangle$ of $\aleph$ 'decorating' points (in the interior of $\mathbf{S}$, where $\aleph$ is defined in (2.9)), which serve as punctures. Moreover,

- An *open arc* in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ (or $\mathbf{S}$) is (the isotopy class of) a curve in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle - \triangle$ that connects two marked points in $\mathbf{M}$.
- a *closed arc* in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ is (the isotopy class of) a curve in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle - \triangle$ that connects different decorating points in $\triangle$. We will consider the general closed arcs, i.e. when the endpoints of a curved coincide at a decorating point, see Appendix 9. Denote by $\mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ the set of simple closed arcs.
- An *L-arc* $\eta$ in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ is (the isotopy class of) a curve in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle - \triangle$ such that its endpoints coincide at a decorating point in $\triangle$ and it is not isotopy to a point.
- A *general closed arc* in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ is either a closed arc or an L-arc; denote by $\overline{\mathrm{CA}}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ the set of simple general closed arcs.

The *intersection numbers* between arcs in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ are defined as follows:

- For an open arc $\gamma$ and any arc $\eta$, their intersection number is the geometric intersection number in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle - \mathbf{M}$:
$$\mathrm{Int}(\gamma,\eta) = \min\{|\gamma' \cap \eta' \cap (\mathbf{S}_\triangle - \mathbf{M})| \,\Big|\, \gamma' \sim \gamma, \eta' \sim \eta\}.$$
- For two closed arcs $\alpha, \beta$ in $\overline{\mathrm{CA}}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$, their intersection number is an half integer in $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and defined as follows (following [20]):
$$\mathrm{Int}(\alpha,\beta) = \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Int}_\triangle(\alpha,\beta) + \mathrm{Int}_{\mathbf{S}-\triangle}(\alpha,\beta),$$
where
$$\mathrm{Int}_{\mathbf{S}_\triangle - \triangle}(\alpha,\beta) = \min\{|\alpha' \cap \beta' \cap \mathbf{S}_\triangle - \triangle| \,\Big|\, \alpha' \sim \alpha, \beta' \sim \beta\} \tag{3.1}$$
and
$$\mathrm{Int}_\triangle(\alpha,\beta) = \sum_{Z \in \triangle} |\{t \mid \alpha(t) = Z\}| \cdot |\{r \mid \beta(r) = Z\}|.$$

It is straightforward to see $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta) \geq 2$ for an L-arc $\eta$ and the equality holds if and only if $\eta$ is contained within two triangles of $\mathbf{T}_0$ (which encloses exactly one decorating point).

3.2. **Triangulations and flips (after Krammer).**

**Definition 3.2.** A *triangulation* $\mathbf{T}$ of $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ is a maximal collection of open arcs such that

- for any $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbf{T}$, $\mathrm{Int}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = 0$;
- $\mathbf{T}$ is compatible with $\triangle$ in the sense that the open arcs in $\mathbf{T}$ divide $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ into $\aleph$ triangles, each of which contains exactly one point in $\triangle$.

Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a triangulation of $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ (consisting of $n$ open arcs). The *dual triangulation* $\mathbf{T}^*$ of $\mathbf{T}$ is the collection of $n$ closed arcs in $\mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$, such that every closed arc only intersects one open arc in $\mathbf{T}$ and with intersection one. See the left picture of Figure 15 for an example. More precisely, for $\gamma$ in $\mathbf{T}$, the corresponding closed arc in $\mathbf{T}^*$ is the unique open arc $s$, that is contained in the quadrilateral $A$ with diagonal $\gamma$, connecting the two decorating points in $A$ and intersecting $\gamma$ only once. We will call $s$ and $\gamma$ the dual of each other, w.r.t. $\mathbf{T}$ (or $\mathbf{T}^*$), cf. left picture of Figure 15.

There is a canonical map, the *forgetful map*

$$F\colon \mathbf{S}_\triangle \to \mathbf{S},$$

forgetting the decorating points. Clearly, $F$ induces a map from the set of open arcs in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ to the set of open arcs in $\mathbf{S}$. And the image of a triangulation $\mathbf{T}$ is still a triangulation $\mathbb{T} = F(\mathbf{T})$. The (FST) quiver $Q_{\mathbf{T}}$ associated to $\mathbf{T}$ is defined to be the (FST) quiver $Q_{\mathbb{T}}$ that associated to $\mathbb{T} = F(\mathbf{T})$. We proceed to introduce the notion of forward/backward flip of triangulations (after [19] and cf. [18]).




FIGURE 3. The flip

**Definition 3.3.** Let $\gamma$ be an open arc in a triangulation $\mathbf{T}$ of $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$. The arc $\gamma^\sharp = \gamma^\sharp(\mathbf{T})$ is the arc obtained from $\gamma$ by anticlockwise moving its endpoints along the quadrilateral in $\mathbf{T}$ whose diagonal is $\gamma$ (cf. upper pictures of Figure 3), to the next marked points. The *forward flip* of a triangulation $\mathbf{T}$ of $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ at $\gamma \in \mathbf{T}$ is the triangulation $\mathbf{T}^\sharp_\gamma$ obtained from $\mathbf{T}$ by replacing the arc $\gamma$ with $\gamma^\sharp$. Similarly, we can define arc $\gamma^\flat = \gamma^\flat(\mathbf{T})$ is the arc obtained from $\gamma$ by clockwise moving its endpoints and the *backward flip* $\mathbf{T}^\flat_\gamma$ of $\mathbf{T}$ at $\gamma \in \mathbf{T}$ is the triangulation $\mathbf{T}^\flat_\gamma$ obtained from $\mathbf{T}$ by replacing the arc $\gamma$ with $\gamma^\flat$.

Clearly, these two flips are inverse operations. Also note that under the forgetful map $F$, a forward/backward flip in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ becomes a normal flip (without direction) of $\mathbf{S}$, cf. Figure 3, which is an involution.

**Definition 3.4.** The exchange graph $\mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ is the oriented graph whose vertices are triangulations of $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ and whose edges correspond to forward flips between them.



FIGURE 4. The pentagon relation for forward flips

**Remark 3.5.** Recall that $\pi_1 \mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S})$ is generated by squares and pentagons ([8, Theorem 3.10]). By [19], forward flips also satisfy the square and pentagon relations (cf. Figure 4). we believe that $\pi_1 \mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ is also generated by squares and pentagons.

3.3. **The braid twists.** The mapping class group $\mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ is the group of isotopy classes of homeomorphisms of $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$, where all homeomorphisms and isotopies are required to: i) fix $\partial\mathbf{S}_\triangle(\supset \mathbf{M})$ pointwise; ii) fix the decorating points set $\triangle$ (but allow to permutate points in it). Note that the mapping class group $\mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S})$ of $\mathbf{S}$ will require only the first condition and thus there is a canonical map

$$F_*\colon \mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S}) \tag{3.2}$$

induced by the forgetful map $F$. As $\mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S})$ is generated by Dehn twists along simple closed curves (which misses the decorating points), $F_*$ is clearly surjective.

For any closed arc $\eta \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$, there is the (positive) *braid twist* $\mathrm{B}_\eta \in \mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ along $\eta$, which is shown in Figure 5. Further, there is the following well-known formula

$$\mathrm{B}_{\Psi(\eta)} = \Psi \circ \mathrm{B}_\eta \circ \Psi^{-1}, \tag{3.3}$$

for any $\Psi \in \mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$.

**Definition 3.6.** The *braid twist group* $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ of the decorated marked surface $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ generated by the braid twists $\mathrm{B}_\eta$ for $\eta \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$.

**Example 3.7.** If $\mathrm{Int}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2}$, there is a closed arc $\eta$ (cf. Figure 6) such that

$$\eta = \mathrm{B}_\alpha(\beta) = \mathrm{B}_\beta^{-1}(\alpha), \quad \alpha = \mathrm{B}_\beta(\eta) = \mathrm{B}_\eta^{-1}(\beta), \quad \beta = \mathrm{B}_\eta(\alpha) = \mathrm{B}_\alpha^{-1}(\eta). \tag{3.4}$$




FIGURE 5. The Braid twist

Note that $\eta$ is the closed arc such that the interior of the triangle bounded by $\alpha, \beta, \eta$ is contractible. In fact, there is exactly one more such closed arc (dashed arc in Figure 6), namely

$$\eta' = \mathrm{B}_\alpha^{-1}(\beta) = \mathrm{B}_\beta(\alpha),$$

satisfying the triangle bounded by these three arcs is contractible.




FIGURE 6. Intersecting one half

We have the following straightforward observation:

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let $\gamma$ be a open arc in $\mathbf{T}$ and $s$ be its dual in $\mathbf{T}^*$. Then in the triangulation $\mathbf{T}_\gamma^\sharp$, the dual of $\gamma^\sharp$ is still $s$. Moreover, let $\mathbf{T}_\gamma^\sharp$ and $\mathbf{T}_\gamma^\flat$ be the two flips of $\mathbf{T}$ at $\gamma$. Then*

$$\gamma^\flat = \mathrm{B}_s(\gamma^\sharp) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathbf{T}_\gamma^\flat = \mathrm{B}_s(\mathbf{T}_\gamma^\sharp).$$

*Proof.* The first claim follows from the upper pictures in Figure 3 and the equations follow from Figure 7. □

As a consequence, we obtain a map between exchange graphs.

**Lemma 3.9.** *As graphs, we have the following surjective map induced by the forgetful map $F$:*

$$F_*\colon \mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)/\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}). \tag{3.5}$$



FIGURE 7. Composition of forward flips as a negative braid twist

*Proof.* Recall that there is a canonical surjection $F_*\colon \mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S})$ in (3.2). By definition, it is straightforward to see that

$$\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \subset \ker F_*. \tag{3.6}$$

Thus, $F$ induces a quotient map $F_*\colon \mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)/\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \to \mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S})$ between sets. Next, the $F_*$ preserves the edges (cf. Figure 3), in the sense that the forward and backward flips of a triangulation $\mathbf{T}$ at some closed $\gamma$ both become the flip of $\mathbb{T} = F(\mathbf{T})$ at $F(\gamma)$. Thus, $F_*$ is a map between graphs. Finally, by definition, $\mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ is an oriented $(n,n)$-regular graph (that is, every vertex has $n$ arrows in and $n$ arrow out) and $\mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S})$ is an unoriented $n$-regular graph. Therefore we deduce that $F$ is surjective. □

**Remark 3.10.** In fact, if we take any connected component $\mathrm{EG}^\chi(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ of $\mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$, then $F_*$ induces an isomorphism

$$F_*\colon \mathrm{EG}^\chi(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)/\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \cong \mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S})$$

since $\mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S})$ is connected and both graphs are $n$-regular.

### 3.4. **The initial triangulation.**

**Remark 3.11.** Due to technique reason, we will exclude two special cases for the moment:

I). an annulus with one marked point on each of its boundary components;
II). a torus with only boundary component and one marked point.

These cases will be discuss independently in § 7.

**Lemma 3.12.** *There exists a triangulation $\mathbf{T}$ of $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ such that any two triangles share at most one edge. In other words, the quiver $Q_\mathbf{T}$ has no double arrows.*

*Proof.* The second statement, which is equivalent to the first one, follows from [10, Proposition 7.13], noticing that we have exclude the two special cases (a torus with one marked point and an annulus with two marked points). □

**Notations 3.13.** We will fix such a triangulation $\mathbf{T}_0$ such that its image $\mathbb{T}_0 = F(\mathbf{T}_0)$ (a triangulation of $\mathbf{S}$) satisfies the condition in Lemma 3.12. Let

$$\mathbf{T}_0 = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{T}_0^* = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\},$$

where $s_i$ is the dual of $\gamma_i$ w.r.t. $\mathbf{T}_0$. Denote by $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ the connected component of $\mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ that contains $\mathbf{T}_0$.




FIGURE 8. The line segment $l$

We say a curve is in a *minimal position* w.r.t. $\mathbf{T}_0$, if it has minimal intersections with (arcs in) $\mathbf{T}_0$. Let $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{Int}(\gamma_i, \eta)$. Then a representative $\eta$ is in a minimal position if it intersects $\mathbf{T}_0$ exactly $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta)$ times. We will repeatedly use induction on $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta)$ later. The next lemma is the basic idea of those inductions.

**Lemma 3.14.** *Suppose that a closed arc $\eta$ in $\overline{\mathrm{CA}}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ that is not a closed arc $s$ in $\mathbf{T}_0^*$. Then there are two closed arcs $\alpha, \beta$ in $\mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ such that*

*1°.* $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta) = \mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \alpha) + \mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \beta)$ *and*
*2°. $\alpha, \beta, \eta$ form a contractible triangle in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$.*

*In the case when $\eta \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$, 2° is equivalent to*

$\widetilde{2}°$ $\mathrm{Int}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2}$ *and* $\eta = \mathrm{B}_\alpha(\beta)$.

*Proof.* Recall that we require any two triangles in $\mathbf{T}_0$ share at most one edge. Thus if $\eta$ only intersects two triangles of $\mathbf{T}_0$, then $\eta = s_j \in \mathbf{T}_0$ for some $j$ which we will exclude. Now suppose that $\eta$ intersects at least three triangles of $\mathbf{T}_0$. Then one of the decorating points in these triangles is not the endpoints of $\eta$. Denote the triangle by $\Lambda_0$ with the decorating point $Z_0$ inside. Choose a representative of $\eta$, also denote by $\eta$ when there is no confusion, such that it is in a minimal position w.r.t. $\mathbf{T}_0$. One can draw a line segment $l$ from $Z_0$ to some point $Y$ of $\eta$ within $\Lambda_0$ such that $l$ doesn't intersect $\eta$ except at the endpoints (cf. Figure 8). Let $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ be the endpoints of $\eta$ such that $l$ is in the left side when pass from $Z_2$ to $Z_1$. Consider two closed arcs $\alpha$ and $\beta$ which are isotopy to $l \cup \eta\,|_{Z_1 Y}$ and $l \cup \eta\,|_{Z_2 Y}$ respectively (cf. Figure 9). Clearly, 2° is satisfied. Since $\eta$ is in a minimal position (w.r.t. $\mathbf{T}_0$), so are $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Thus 1° is also satisfied.

Moreover, $\eta$ is one of $\mathrm{B}_\alpha(\beta)$ and $\mathrm{B}_\alpha^{-1}(\beta)$ when the endpoint of $\eta$ do not coincide (i.e. $\eta \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$). Thus, by choosing $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in some order we will obtain $\widetilde{2}°$ as required. □

## 4. On the braid twist groups

4.1. **Generators.** Recall that we have the braid twist group for $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$. Now we define the braid twist group for $\mathbf{T}_0$.

**Definition 4.1.** Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a triangulation of $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$. The *braid twist group* $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T})$ of the triangulation $\mathbf{T}$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ generated by the braid twists $\mathrm{B}_s$ for the closed arcs $s$ in $\mathbf{T}^*$.




FIGURE 9. Decomposing $\eta$

In fact, these two groups are the same.

**Lemma 4.2.** $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) = \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T}_0)$.

*Proof.* Use induction on $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta)$ to show that $\mathrm{B}_\eta$ is in $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T}_0)$. If so, then $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \subset \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T}_0)$. Clearly, $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \supset \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T}_0)$ and therefore the lemma follows.

If $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta) = 1$, then $\eta \in \mathbf{T}_0^*$ and the claim is trivial. Suppose that the claim holds for any $\eta'$ with $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta') < m$. Consider a closed arc $\eta \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ with $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta) = m$. Applying Lemma 3.14, we have $\eta = \mathrm{B}_\alpha(\beta)$ for some $\alpha, \beta$. By the inductive assumption, $\mathrm{B}_\alpha$ and $\mathrm{B}_\beta$ are in $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T}_0)$. By formula (3.3), we have

$$\mathrm{B}_\eta = \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{B}_\alpha(\beta)} = \mathrm{B}_\alpha \circ \mathrm{B}_\beta \circ \mathrm{B}_\alpha^{-1} \in \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T}_0),$$

which completes the proof. □

**Proposition 4.3.** $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) = \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T})$ *for any* $\mathbf{T} \in \mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$.

*Proof.* First, if $\mathbf{T}_1$ and $\mathbf{T}_2$ are related by a flip, then their dual graph are related by a Whitehead move, with respect to the corresponding closed arc $\eta$ (which is unchange during the flip), see Figure 10. Notice that the changes of closed arcs in $\mathbf{T}_i^*$ are given by the braid twist $\mathrm{B}_\eta^{\pm 1}$. Then by (3.3) it is straightforward to see that $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T}_1) = \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T}_2)$. By Lemma 4.2, the proposition holds for any $\mathbf{T} \in \mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$.

As for $\mathbf{T}$ in other connected component of $\mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$, we can always find one triangulation in that component satisfying the condition in Lemma 3.12. Then Lemma 4.2 would apply to that triangulation and thus the proposition holds for any $\mathbf{T} \in \mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$. □




FIGURE 10. The Whitehead move, as the flip of the dual triangulations (red)

Besides, the closed arcs are 'reachable', in the following sense.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let* $\mathbf{T} \in \mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$. *For any* $\eta \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$, *there exists* $s \in \mathbf{T}^*$ *and* $b \in \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ *such that* $\eta = b(s)$, *i.e.*

$$\mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) = \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \cdot \mathbf{T}^*.$$

*Proof.* Consider the case when $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_0$ first. Then this follows easily by induction on $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta)$, using Lemma 3.14. Second, by the Whitehead move (cf. Figure 10), if $\mathbf{T}_1$ and $\mathbf{T}_2$ are related by a flip, then

$$\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \cdot \mathbf{T}_1^* = \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \cdot \mathbf{T}_2^*.$$

Therefore the proposition holds for $\mathbf{T} \in \mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$. Finally, as in the last paragraph of the proof of Proposition 4.3, we deduce that the proposition holds for any $\mathbf{T} \in \mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$. □

4.2. **Centers.** We recall the braid groups (a.k.a. Artin groups) of type A and $\widetilde{A}$.

**Definition 4.5.** Suppose that $Q$ (which is quiver or a diagram in this case) is of Dynkin type in (4.1) as follows:




(4.1)

Denote by $\mathrm{Br}(Q)$ the braid group associated to $Q$, with generated by $\mathbf{b} = \{b_i \mid i \in Q_0\}$ and the relations

$$\begin{cases} b_j b_i b_j = b_i b_j b_i, & \text{there is exactly an arrow between } i \text{ and } j \text{ in } Q, \\ b_i b_j = b_j b_i, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $Z_0^{\mathrm{BT}}$ be the center of $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ and $\mathrm{BT}_*(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) = \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)/Z_0^{\mathrm{BT}}$.

- If $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ is an polygon, then $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \cong \mathrm{Br}(A_n)$ and $Z_0^{\mathrm{BT}}$ is the infinite cyclic group generated by $\mathrm{D}_{\partial \mathbf{S}_\triangle}$.
- If $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ is an annuli, then $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \cong \mathrm{Br}(\widetilde{A_n})$ and $Z_0^{\mathrm{BT}} = 1$ ([6]).

We will show that $Z_0^{\mathrm{BT}} = 1$ holds for all other cases. Denote the boundary components of $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ by $\partial_j, 1 \le j \le |\partial \mathbf{S}|$.

**Lemma 4.6.** *By cutting along the (initial) closed arcs in* $\mathbf{T}_0^*$, $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ *will be divided into* $m$ *annuli* $\mathbf{A}_i, 1 \le i \le m$, *such that* $\partial_i$ *is a boundary component of* $\mathbf{A}_i$.

*Proof.* For each boundary segment $\gamma \subset \partial \mathbf{S}_\triangle$ that is in a triangle $T$ in $\mathbf{T}_0$ with decorating point $Z$, denote by $\gamma^*$ its dual, which is the simple arc in $T$ (unique up to isotopy) connecting $Z$ and the midpoint of $\gamma$. Call the union of $\mathbf{T}_0^*$ and arcs $\gamma^*$ as above (for all segment $\gamma$ in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$) the full dual of $\mathbf{T}_0$. Denote it by $\widehat{\mathbf{T}_0^*}$, see Figure 11 for example.

Then the surface $\mathbf{S}_\triangle - \widehat{\mathbf{T}_0^*}$ obtained from $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ by cutting along all arcs in $\widehat{\mathbf{T}_0^*}$ satisfies the following:



FIGURE 11. The full dual of a triangulation

- it consists of $|\mathbf{M}|$ connected components, each of which contains exactly one marked point in $\mathbf{M}$;
- each component is a disk, since it can be obtained by gluing many quadrilaterals (cf. the shaded area in Figure 11) long some segment containing the marked point in that component.

Further, by gluing back long the arcs dual to boundary segments in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$, we deduce that surface $\mathbf{S}_\triangle - \mathbf{T}_0^*$ obtained from $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ by cutting along all arcs in $\mathbf{T}_0^*$ satisfies the following:

- it consists of $|\partial|$ connected components;
- each component $\mathbf{A}_i$ is an annulus, such that one of the boundary components of $\mathbf{A}_i$ is a boundary component of $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$.

Thus, the lemma follows. □

**Proposition 4.7.** *If $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ is neither a polygon nor an annulus, then $Z_0^{\mathrm{BT}} = 1$.*

*Proof.* Denote by $\mathrm{D}(\partial\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ generated by the Dehn twist $\{\mathrm{D}_{\partial_i}\}$ of its boundary components.

We claim that $Z_0^{\mathrm{BT}} \subset \mathrm{D}(\partial\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$. Let $z \in Z_0^{\mathrm{BT}}$ and so $z \circ \mathrm{B}_\eta = \mathrm{B}_\eta \circ z$ for any $\eta \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$. Then by (3.3) we have

$$\mathrm{B}_{z(\eta)} = z \circ \mathrm{B}_\eta \circ z^{-1} = \mathrm{B}_\eta \,. \tag{4.2}$$

Thus $z(\eta) = \eta$ for any $\eta \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$, which in particular implies $z$ preserves $\triangle$ pointwise (note that $|\triangle| = \aleph \geq 3$ in our situation) and $\mathbf{T}_0^*$. By Lemma 4.6, cutting along closed arcs in $\mathbf{T}_0^*$ divides $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ into $m$ annuli $\mathbf{A}_i$, such that $\partial_i$ is a boundary component of $\mathbf{A}_i$. Since $z$ preserves all such closed arcs, it can be realized as composition of some element $z_i \in \mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{A}_i)$ (where the order of the composition does not matters since they commute with each other). Note that $\mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{A}_i)$ is generated by $\mathrm{D}_{\partial_i}$, which implies $z \subset \mathrm{D}(\partial\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$. Thus the claim holds.

There is also the subgroup $\mathrm{D}(\partial\mathbf{S})$ of $\mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S})$ generated by the Dehn twist along its boundary components and the obvious induced map $F_*(\mathrm{D}(\partial\mathbf{S}_\triangle)) = \mathrm{D}(\partial\mathbf{S})$, which sends $\mathrm{D}_{\partial_i}$ to $\mathrm{D}_{\partial_i}$. Since $\mathbf{S}$ is not a polygon, $\{\mathrm{D}_{\partial_i}\}$ are non-trivial in both $\mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ and $\mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S})$. Further, since $\mathbf{S}$ is not an annulus, $\{\mathrm{D}_{\partial_i}\}$ are distinct (and commute with each other). Therefore, $F_*\colon \mathrm{D}(\partial\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \to \mathrm{D}(\partial\mathbf{S})$ is an isomorphism.

Now combining $Z_0^{\mathrm{BT}} \subset \mathrm{D}(\partial \mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ and (3.6), we deduce that $F_*(Z_0^{\mathrm{BT}}) = 1$ in $\mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S})$ and hence $Z_0^{\mathrm{BT}} = 1$ in $\mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$. □

## 5. From closed arcs to perfect objects

5.1. **The Koszul dual and minimal model.** Let $\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}} = \Gamma(Q_{\mathbf{T}}, W_{\mathbf{T}})$ be the Ginzburg dg algebra from a triangulation $\mathbf{T}$. Recall that there is a canonical heart $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{T}}$ in $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$ and let

$$S_{\mathbf{T}} = \bigoplus_{S \in \mathrm{Sim}\, \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{T}}} S$$

to be the direct sum of the simples in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{T}}$. Consider the (dg) endemorphism algebra $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{T}} = \mathrm{RHom}(S_{\mathbf{T}}, S_{\mathbf{T}})$. By [15], we have the following derive equivalence:

$$\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) \underset{?\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{T}}} S_{\mathbf{T}}}{\overset{\mathrm{RHom}_{\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}}(S_{\mathbf{T}}, ?)}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{per}\, \mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{T}}, \tag{5.1}$$

In particular, $\{S\}_{S \in \mathcal{H}_\Gamma}$ in $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$ becomes (indecomposable) projectives in $\mathrm{per}\, \mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{T}}$. By [13, § A.15], the multiplications in the $\mathrm{A}_\infty$-structure of the homology of $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{T}}$ are induced from differentials of $\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}$. In particular, when $m \geq 3$, the $m$-multiplications are induced from the $(m+1)$-cycle in the potential $W_{\mathbf{T}}$, which vanish in our case (since we only has 3-cycles in the potential). This means that $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{T}}$ is formal and hence is quasi-isomorphic to its homology (the minimal model), denoted by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{T}} = \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(S_{\mathbf{T}}, S_{\mathbf{T}}). \tag{5.2}$$

which is just a graded algebra. We will identify $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$ with $\mathrm{per}\, \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{T}}$ when there is no confusion. Recall that the Ext quiver $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$ of a finite heart $\mathcal{H}$ is the (positively) graded quiver whose vertices are the simples of $\mathcal{H}$ and whose graded edges correspond to a basis of $\mathrm{End}^{>0}(\bigoplus_{S \in \mathrm{Sim}\, \mathcal{H}} S)$.

**Example 5.1.** The Ext quiver of the canonical heart (in the corresponding 3-CY category) of the quiver with potential in Example 2.1 is shown as follows.




(5.3)

Moreover, the differentials in (2.2) become the following multiplications:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}^1(S_{i-1}, S_i) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}^1(S_i, S_{i+1}) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}^2(S_{i-1}, S_{i+1}), \\ \mathrm{Hom}^k(S_i, S_{i+k}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}^{3-k}(S_{i+k}, S_i) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}^3(S_i, S_i), \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, where $S_{i+3} = S_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**Notations 5.2.** Recall that we have fix an initial triangulation $\mathbf{T}_0$.

- We will write $\Gamma_0$ for $\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}_0}$. Similar for $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{T}_0}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{T}_0}$ and so on.



FIGURE 12. A digon intersected by some $\gamma$ and $\eta$

- Let $\Gamma^i = e_i\Gamma_0$ be the indecomposable projective summands of $\Gamma_0$.
- Let $S_1, \dots, S_n$ be the simples in $\mathcal{H}_0$, which corresponds to the projectives above. Under the derived equivalence (5.1), $S_i$ becomes the (projective) summand of the silting objects $\mathcal{E}_0$ in $\operatorname{per}\mathcal{E}_0 \cong \mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_0)$.

## 5.2. **The string model.**

**Definition 5.3.** A dg $\mathcal{E}_0$-module $X$ is *minimal perfect* if its underlying graded module (denoted by $|X|$) is of the form

$$|X| = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{l} X_k, \tag{5.5}$$

where each $X_k$ is a finite direct sum of shifted copies of direct summands of $\mathcal{E}_0$ (i.e. copies of $S_j$) whose differential, as a degree 1 map from $X$ to itself, is a strictly upper triangular matrix whose entries are in the ideal of $\mathcal{E}_0$ generated by the arrows in $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H}_0)$.

Let $\eta$ be a general closed arc in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ such that it is in a minimal position w.r.t. $\mathbf{T}_0$. This is equivalent to say that, there is no digon shown as in Figure 12. One can associate a minimal perfect dg $\mathcal{E}_0$ module $X_\eta$ as follows

- its underlying graded module $|X_\eta|$ has the form as in (5.5).
- Let the endpoints of $\eta$ are $Z$ and $Z'$. Suppose that from $Z$ to $Z'$, $\eta$ intersects $\mathbf{T}_0$ at $V_1, \dots, V_m$ accordingly, where $V_i$ is in the arc $\gamma_{j_i} \in \mathbf{T}_0$ for $1 \le i \le m$ and some $1 \le j_i \le n$ (cf. Figure 13). Note that since when choose $\eta$ in a minimal position w.r.t. $\mathbf{T}_0$, $j_i$ are independent of the choice of $\eta$ (only depend the isotopy class of $\eta$).
- Each line segment $V_iV_{i+1}$ in $\eta$ induces a graded arrow $a_i$ between $V_i$ and $V_{i+1}$ (clockwise within the corresponding triangle). See Figure 14 for how an edge $a$ in the Ext quiver $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ induces such a graded arrow $a$ between $V$ and $W$ respectively. Then we obtain a string $H_\eta$, whose vertices are $V_i$'s and whose (graded) arrows are those induced arrows.

$$H_\eta: \quad V_1 \xrightarrow{a_1} V_2 \xrightarrow{a_2} \cdots \longrightarrow V_{m-1} \xrightarrow{a_{m-1}} V_m$$




FIGURE 13. The intersections between $\eta$ and $\mathbf{T}_0$

- Each intersection $V_i$ corresponds to a summand $S_{j_i}[\delta_i]$ in some $X_{\delta_i}$ for some integer $\delta_i$. So we have

$$\bigoplus_{k=1}^{l} X_k = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} S_{j_i}[\delta_i].$$

- For the arrow $a_i$, we have two cases:
  1°. If its orientation is $V_i \to V_{i+1}$, then the degree $\delta$'s satisfy

$$\delta_{i+1} = \delta_i + \deg a_i - 1. \tag{5.6}$$

  Moreover, the map $S_{j_i} \to S_{j_{i+1}}[\deg a_i]$ corresponding to $a_i$ induces a degree 1 map from

$$d_{a_i} \colon S_{j_i}[\delta_i] \xrightarrow{1} S_{j_{i+1}}[\delta_{i+1}].$$

  2°. If its orientation is $V_i \leftarrow V_{i+1}$, then the degree $\delta$'s satisfy

$$\delta_i = \delta_{i+1} + \deg a_i - 1. \tag{5.7}$$

  Moreover, the map $S_{j_{i+1}} \to S_{j_i}[\deg a_i]$ corresponding to $a_i$ induces a degree 1 map from

$$d_{a_i} \colon S_{j_{i+1}}[\delta_{i+1}] \xrightarrow{1} S_{j_i}[\delta_i].$$




FIGURE 14. Inducing graded arrows

- Finally, the differential $\mathrm{d}_\eta$ of $X_\eta$ is given by the degree 1 map

$$\mathrm{d}_\eta = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} d_{a_i}.$$

**Lemma 5.4.** *The complex $X_\eta$ above is well-defined.*

*Proof.* We only need to check $\mathrm{d}_\eta^2 = 0$, i.e. $d_{a_{i+1}} d_{a_i} = 0$ and $d_{a_i} d_{a_{i+1}} = 0$ for any $i$ (when they make sense in $\mathrm{d}_\eta^2$).

On one hand, since $\eta$ is in a minimal position, w.r.t. $\mathbf{T}_0$ and any two triangles in $\mathbf{T}_0$ share at most one edge, we deduce that for any $i$, $V_{i-1}, V_i$ and $V_{i+1}$ are not in a single triangle of $\mathbf{T}_0$.

On the other hand, if $d_{a_{i+1}} d_{a_i} \neq 0$, then there is a non-zero multiplication in

$$\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(S_{j_{i-1}}[\delta_{i-1}], S_{j_i}[\delta_i]) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(S_{j_i}[\delta_i], S_{j_{i+1}}[\delta_{i+1}]) \to \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(S_{j_{i-1}}[\delta_{i-1}], S_{j_{i+1}}[\delta_{i+1}]).$$

As such an multiplication is induced from terms in the potential ([13, § A.15]), which are 3-cycles, we deduce that $V_{i_1}, V_i$ and $V_{i+1}$ are in a single triangle of $\mathbf{T}_0$. This contradicts to the fact mentioned above. Similarly for the case when $d_{a_i} d_{a_{i+1}} = 0$.

In sum, we deduce that $\mathrm{d}^2 = 0$ as required. □

**Remark 5.5.** As we are flexible to choose $\delta_1$ and $t_1$, $X_\eta$ is well-defined up to shifts. In other words, we obtain a map

$$\widetilde{X} \colon \overline{\mathrm{CA}}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \to \mathrm{per}\,\mathcal{E}_0\,/[1], \tag{5.8}$$

$$\eta \mapsto \widetilde{X}(\eta).$$

We will use the convention that $X_\eta$ will be a representative in the shift orbits $\widetilde{X}(\eta)$ and the notation $X[\mathbb{Z}]$ means the shift orbit that contains $X$.

**Example 5.6.** By construction, $\widetilde{X}(s_i) = S_i[\mathbb{Z}]$, where $s_i$ are the 'initial' closed arcs in $\mathbf{T}_0^*$ and $S_i$ are the simples in the canonical heart $\mathcal{H}_0$. Let us have a look at some non-trivial case. Take an initial triangulation of a 6-gon as shown in the left picture in Figure 15. The Ext-quiver of $\mathcal{H}_0$ is as shown in Example 5.1. Then we have

$$\widetilde{X}(\eta_1) = \mathrm{Cone}(S_1 \to S_2[1])[\mathbb{Z}], \quad \widetilde{X}(\eta_2) = \mathrm{Cone}(X \to S_3[3])[\mathbb{Z}],$$

where

$$X = \mathrm{Cone}(S_1[-2] \to S_3).$$

Here, the maps in the Cone are the unique map (up to scaling) between the corresponding objects.

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let $X_\eta$ is a complex associated to a closed arc $\eta$ as above. Then*

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\Gamma^i, X_\eta) = \mathrm{Int}(\gamma_i, \eta), \tag{5.9}$$

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\Gamma_0, X_\eta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\Gamma^i, X_\eta) = \mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta). \tag{5.10}$$




FIGURE 15. An initial triangulation and two closed arcs in a 6-gon

*Proof.* First, the projective-simple duality implies

$$\mathrm{Hom}^j(\Gamma^i, S_k[l]) = \delta_{ik} \cdot \delta_{jl} \cdot \mathbf{k}, \quad 1 \leq i, k \leq n; \forall j, l \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5.11}$$

Second, the chain map d are generated by the morphisms $\varsigma\colon S_i \to S_j[\delta]$ in (5.2), which satisfy $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\Gamma^i, \varsigma) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus the lemma follows. □

In particular, we have the following immediate consequence as $s_i$ are the only closed arcs that has intersection one with $\mathbf{T}$.

**Corollary 5.8.** *If $X_\eta[\mathbb{Z}] = S_i[\mathbb{Z}]$ for some initial closed arc $s_i \in \mathbf{T}_0^*$, then $\eta = s_i$.*

We will prove the following key proposition in Appendix 9

**Proposition 5.9.** *Let $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ be two closed arcs in $\overline{\mathrm{CA}}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$. Choose any representative $X_k$ in $\widetilde{X}(\eta_k) = X_k[\mathbb{Z}]$. Then we have*

*1°. If $\eta_i$ is a closed arc, i.e. is in $\mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$, then $X_{\eta_k}$ is in $\mathrm{Sph}(\Gamma_0)$.*

*2°. If $\mathrm{Int}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = 0$, then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_{\eta_2}) = 0. \tag{5.12}$$

*3°. If $\mathrm{Int}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_{\eta_2}) = 1. \tag{5.13}$$

An immediate consequence of Proposition 5.9 and Proposition 9.2 is as follows.

**Corollary 5.10.** *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ with $\mathrm{Int}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\eta = \mathrm{B}_\alpha(\beta)$. Then*

$$\widetilde{X}(\mathrm{B}_\alpha(\beta)) = \phi_{\widetilde{X}(\alpha)}(\widetilde{X}(\beta)). \tag{5.14}$$

## 6. Braid twists versus spherical twists

6.1. **Two twist group actions.** We start with a generalization of Corollary 5.10.

**Lemma 6.1.** *For any $s \in \mathbf{T}_0^*$ and $\eta \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$, we have*

$$\phi^\varepsilon_{\widetilde{X}(s)}\left(\widetilde{X}(\eta)\right) = \widetilde{X}\left(\mathrm{B}_s^\varepsilon(\eta)\right), \tag{6.1}$$

*where $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we only deal the case for $\varepsilon = 1$. Use induction on $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta)$ starting with the trivial case when $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta) = 1$, or equivalently, $\eta \in \mathbf{T}_0^*$. Now, for the inductive step, consider $\eta$ with $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta) = m$ while the lemma holds for any $\eta'$ with $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta) < m$. Applying Lemma 3.14, we have $\eta = \mathrm{B}_\alpha(\beta)$ for some $\alpha, \beta$ with $\mathrm{Int}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2}$. Twisted by $\mathrm{B}_s$, we have $\mathrm{Int}(\mathrm{B}_s(\alpha), \mathrm{B}_s(\beta)) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathrm{B}_s(\eta) = \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{B}_s(\alpha)}(\mathrm{B}_s(\beta))$. By (5.14), we have

$$\widetilde{X}\left(\mathrm{B}_s(\eta)\right) = \phi_{\widetilde{X}(\mathrm{B}_s(\alpha))}\left(\widetilde{X}\left(\mathrm{B}_s(\beta)\right)\right). \tag{6.2}$$

By the inductive assumption,

$$\phi_{\widetilde{X}(s)}\left(\widetilde{X}(\alpha)\right) = \widetilde{X}\left(\mathrm{B}_s(\alpha)\right), \quad \phi_{\widetilde{X}(s)}\left(\widetilde{X}(\beta)\right) = \widetilde{X}\left(\mathrm{B}_s(\beta)\right). \tag{6.3}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\widetilde{X}(s)}\left(\widetilde{X}(\eta)\right) &= \phi_{\widetilde{X}(s)}\left(\phi_{\widetilde{X}(\alpha)}(\widetilde{X}(\beta))\right) \\
&= \phi_{\widetilde{X}(s)} \circ \phi_{\widetilde{X}(\alpha)} \circ \phi_{\widetilde{X}(s)}^{-1}\left(\phi_{\widetilde{X}(s)}(\widetilde{X}(\beta))\right) \\
&= \phi_{\phi_{\widetilde{X}(s)}(\widetilde{X}(\alpha))}\left(\phi_{\widetilde{X}(s)}(\widetilde{X}(\beta))\right) \\
&= \phi_{\widetilde{X}(\mathrm{B}_s(\alpha))}\left(\widetilde{X}\left(\mathrm{B}_s(\beta)\right)\right) \\
&= \widetilde{X}\left(\mathrm{B}_s(\eta)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from (5.14), the third equality follows from (2.5), the fourth equality follows from (6.3) and the last equality follows from (6.2), which completes the proof. □

**Remark 6.2.** Let $Z_0^{\mathrm{ST}} = \mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_0) \cap \mathbb{Z}[1]$ and

$$\mathrm{ST}_*(\Gamma_0) = \mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_0)/Z_0^{\mathrm{ST}} \subset \mathrm{Aut}^\circ \mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_0)/\mathbb{Z}[1].$$

Note that $\mathrm{ST}_*(\Gamma_0)$ also acts on $\mathrm{Sph}(\Gamma_0)/[1]$. By [3, Theorem 4.4], $Z_0^{\mathrm{ST}} = 1$ unless $\mathbf{S}$ is a polygon, in which case, $Z_0^{\mathrm{ST}} = \mathbb{Z}[n+3]$.

Recall that the initial triangulation consists of closed arcs $s_i$, whose braid twists $b_i = \mathrm{B}_{s_i}$ generate $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T}_0) = \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ by Lemma 4.2. Moreover, the canonical heart $\mathcal{H}_0$ in $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_0)$ has simples $S_i$ satisfying $S_i[\mathbb{Z}] = \widetilde{X}(s_i)$, whose spherical twists $\phi_i = \phi_{S_i}$ generate $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$.

**Proposition 6.3.** *There is a canonical group homomorphism*

$$\iota\colon \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T}_0) \to \mathrm{ST}_*(\Gamma_0), \tag{6.4}$$

*sending the generator $b_i$ to the generator $\phi_i$.*

*Proof.* Consider the case when $\mathbf{S}$ is not a polygon first. We only need to prove that, if

$$b = b_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \circ \cdots \circ b_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k} \tag{6.5}$$

equals 1 in $\mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$, for some $i_j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, \varepsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}, 1 \le j \le k$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\phi = \phi_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{i_l}^{\varepsilon_l} \tag{6.6}$$

equals 1 in $\mathrm{Aut}^\circ \mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_0)$.

First, $b = 1$ implies $b(s_i) = s_i$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. By (repeatedly using) Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\widetilde{X}\left(b(s_i)\right) = \phi\left(\widetilde{X}(s_i)\right).$$

Thus, $S_i[\mathbb{Z}] = \widetilde{X}\left(s_i\right) = \phi\left(S_i[\mathbb{Z}]\right)$, i.e. $\phi(S_i) = S_i[t_i]$ for some integer $t_i$. Since $\phi$ is an equivalence, we deduce that all $t_i$ must be the same. Therefore $\phi = [t]$ for some integer $t$. However, we have $\phi \in Z_0^{\mathrm{ST}} = 1$ in this case, which implies $t = 0$ and $\phi = 1$ in $\mathrm{Aut}^\circ\, \mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_0)$, as required.

In the case when $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ is a polygon, $b = 1$ still implies $\phi = [t]$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and thus the proposition holds too. □

A consequence of the existence of $\iota$ is that the braid twist group actions $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ on $\mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ is compatible with the spherical twist group actions $\mathrm{ST}_*(\Gamma_0)$ on $\mathrm{Sph}(\Gamma_0)/[1]$, under the map $\widetilde{X}$ in (5.8). More precisely, we have the commutative diagram below, where the commutativity is in the sense of (6.9) in the following corollary.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) & \xrightarrow{\quad\iota\quad} & \mathrm{ST}_*(\Gamma_0) \\ \circlearrowleft & & \circlearrowleft \\ \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) & \xrightarrow{\quad\widetilde{X}\quad} & \mathrm{Sph}(\Gamma_0)/[1] \end{array} \tag{6.7}$$

**Corollary 6.4.** *For any $b \in \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ and $\eta \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$, we have*

$$\iota(\mathrm{B}_\eta^\varepsilon) = \phi_{\widetilde{X}(\eta)}^\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\} \tag{6.8}$$

$$\widetilde{X}\left(b(\eta)\right) = \iota(b)\left(\widetilde{X}(\eta)\right). \tag{6.9}$$

*Proof.* Again, we will only deal the case when $\varepsilon = 1$. By Proposition 4.4, $\eta = b(s_j)$ for some $s_j \in \mathbf{T}$ and $b \in \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ with the form (6.5). Let $\phi$ as in (6.6) and by (repeatedly using) (6.1), we have

$$\widetilde{X}(\eta) = \widetilde{X}(b(s_j) = \phi(\widetilde{X}(s_j)) = \phi(S_j).$$

Then using formulae (3.3), (2.5) and the equality above we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(\mathrm{B}_\eta) &= \iota(\mathrm{B}_{b(s)}) \\ &= \iota\left(b_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \circ \cdots \circ b_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k} \circ \mathrm{B}_{s_j} \circ b_{i_1}^{-\varepsilon_1} \circ \cdots \circ b_{i_k}^{-\varepsilon_k}\right) \\ &= \iota(b_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1}) \circ \cdots \circ \iota(b_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k}) \circ \iota(b_j) \circ \iota(b_{i_1}^{-\varepsilon_1}) \circ \cdots \circ \iota(b_{i_k}^{-\varepsilon_k}) \\ &= \phi_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k} \circ \phi_j \circ \phi_{i_1}^{-\varepsilon_1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{i_k}^{-\varepsilon_k} \\ &= \phi \circ \phi_j \circ \phi^{-1} \\ &= \phi_{\phi(S_j)} = \phi_{\widetilde{X}(\eta)}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. (6.8). A similar calculation gives (6.9), as the generalization of (6.1). □

When specifying $b = \mathrm{B}_s^\varepsilon$ in (6.9) and using (6.8), we see that (6.1) holds for any $s, \eta \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$.

**Corollary 6.5.** (6.1) *holds for any $s, \eta \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$.*

Now, we are ready to prove the main theorem of this paper.

6.2. **The main result.** We start to show that $\widetilde{X}$ is bijective.

**Theorem 6.6.** *The map $\widetilde{X}$ in (5.8) induces a bijection*

$$\widetilde{X}\colon \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \xrightarrow{1\text{-}1} \mathrm{Sph}(\Gamma_0)/[1].$$

*Proof.* First, we prove the injectivity. Suppose $\widetilde{X}(\eta) = \widetilde{X}(\eta')$ for $\eta, \eta \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$. Let $\eta = b(s_i)$ for some $b \in \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ and initial closed arc $s_i \in \mathbb{T}_0^*$. Then by (6.9), we have

$$S_i[\mathbb{Z}] = \widetilde{X}(s_i) = \widetilde{X}(b^{-1}(\eta)) = \iota(b)^{-1}\left(\widetilde{X}(\eta)\right) = \iota(b)^{-1}\left(\widetilde{X}(\eta')\right) = \widetilde{X}(b^{-1}(\eta')).$$

By Corollary 5.8, $s_i = b^{-1}(\eta')$ or $\eta = \eta'$ as required.

Second, we prove the surjectivity. Let $\eta$ be a closed arc in $\mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S})$ and $\widetilde{X}(\eta) = X_\eta[\mathbb{Z}]$ for some representative $X_\eta$. We only need to show that $X_\eta$ is in $\mathrm{Sph}(\Gamma_0)$. Use induction on $I = \mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta)$. If $I = 1$, then $\eta$ is some $s_i \in \mathbf{T}_0$ and $X_\eta = S_i[\delta]$ for some integer $\delta$, which is in $\mathrm{Sph}(\Gamma_0)$. Now suppose that the claim is true for $I \leq r$ for some $r \geq 1$ and consider the case when $I = r + 1$. Apply Lemma 3.14, we find $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with $\mathrm{Int}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2}$ and (3.4). By Corollary 5.10, we have representatives $X_\alpha$ and $X_\beta$ with (9.3). By the inductive assumption, we know that $X_\alpha$ and $X_\beta$ are in $\mathrm{Sph}(\Gamma_0)$. On the other hand, we have $\phi_{X_\alpha} \in \mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_0)$ by (2.5) and the theorem follows from (2.6). □

We proceed to show that the bijectivity above implies the isomorphism between twisted groups.

**Theorem 6.7.** *Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a unpunctured marked surface and $\mathbf{T}_0$ be a triangulation of $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ such that the corresponding FST'quiver has no double arrows. Then there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\iota\colon \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T}_0) \to \mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_0), \tag{6.10}$$

*sending the generator $b_i$ to the generator $\phi_i$, where $\Gamma_0$ is the Ginzburg dg algebra associated to $\mathbf{T}_0$.*

*Proof.* When $\mathbf{S}$ is a polygon, this follows from [20] and [26]. Now suppose $\mathbf{S}$ is not a polygon. We first prove the case for the initial triangulation $\mathbf{T}_0$ (whose FST quiver has no double arrows). Then $\mathrm{ST}_*(\Gamma_0) = \mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_0)$. So we have the homomorphism $\iota$ in (6.4) already, which is clearly surjective. Thus we only need to show it is injective.

Let $b \in \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ with $\iota(b) = 1$ in $\mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_0)$. By (6.9), we have

$$\widetilde{X}\left(b(\eta)\right) = \iota(b)\left(\widetilde{X}(\eta)\right) = \widetilde{X}(\eta),$$

which implies $b(\eta) = \eta$ by Theorem 6.6, for any closed arc $\eta$. By (4.2), this implies $b \circ \mathrm{B}_\eta = \mathrm{B}_\eta \circ b$ and thus $b$ is the center $Z_0^{\mathrm{BT}}$ of $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$. But $Z_0^{\mathrm{BT}} = 1$ in this case. So $b = 1$ and $\iota$ is injective. □

**Remark 6.8.** We can generalize Theorem 6.7 to any triangulations $\mathbf{T} \in \mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$, i.e. as Theorem 1. This follows by a standard induction, on the number of flips from $\mathbf{T}_0$ to $\mathbf{T}$; so we only need to prove the case when $\mathbf{T}$ is a flip of $\mathbf{T}_0$.

On one hand, $\mathbf{T}^*$ and $\mathbf{T}_0^*$ are related by a Whitehead move as in Figure 10. Thus, the standard generators of $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T})$ are conjugates of standard generators of $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T}_0)$. It is straightforward to write down the formula of the conjugates. On the other hand, this is also true for $\mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_0)$. Namely,

- by [16], there is a (canonical) derived equivalence
$$\Psi\colon \mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) \cong \mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_0),$$
such that the canonical heart $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}}$ becomes a tilt $\mathcal{H}'$ (cf. [17, Definition 3.7]) of the canonical heart $\mathcal{H}_0$;
- [17, Proposition 5.4] provides the formula of how simples change during a tilting (i.e. each simple in $\mathcal{H}'$ is a twist or a shift of some simple in $\mathcal{H}$);
- then we deduce that under the induced isomorphism $\Psi_*\colon \mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) \cong \mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_0)$, the standard generators of $\mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$ becomes the conjugates of the standard generators of $\mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_0)$.

By comparing the two formulae of the conjugates, we deduce that (6.10) implies (1.2).

We will use the same trick again in § 7 to prove the special cases in Remark 3.11, which completes the generalization from Theorem 6.7 to Theorem 1.

## 7. Special cases

In this section, we first deal with the two special cases in Remark 3.11. Then we discuss the affine $\widetilde{A}$ case in more details.

7.1. **The Kronecker case.** We first discuss the special case I) in Remark 3.11. Note that in case I), all triangulations of $\mathbf{S}$ or $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ looks the same, cf. Figure 16. Choose any triangulation $\mathbf{T}_0$ of $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ as the initial triangulation. Keep all the notations as above.

The dynamic of proof here is the reverse comparing to the previous cases: we will show the relation between the twist groups first; then the relations between closed arcs and spherical objects.



Figure 16. The Kronecker case

First, we claim that (6.10) also holds in this case.

**Proposition 7.1.** *Let* $\mathbf{S}$ *be an annulus with two marked points and* $\mathbf{T}_0$ *a triangulation of* $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$. *There is a canonical isomorphism*
$$\iota\colon \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T}_0) \to \mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_0), \tag{7.1}$$
*sending the generator* $b_i$ *to the generator* $\phi_i$, *where* $\Gamma_0$ *is the Ginzburg dg algebra associated to* $\mathbf{T}_0$.




FIGURE 17. $\widetilde{A_{1,2}}$ case: $\mathbf{T}'$ on the left and $\mathbf{T}'_0$ on the right

*Proof.* Consider an annulus $\mathbf{S}'_\triangle$ with triangulation $\mathbf{T}'_0$ (cf. left picture in Figure 17), whose FST quiver is the affine quiver $Q'$ of type $\widetilde{A_{1,2}}$:




We can chose another triangulation $\mathbf{T}'$, as shown in the right picture in Figure 17, whose FST quiver is




By Remark 6.8, we have (1.2) for $\mathbf{T}'$. On the other hand, we have the following two facts:

- the subcategory $\mathcal{D}_0$ of $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma')$ generated by $X'_1$ and $X'_2$ is equivalent to the 3-CY category for a Kronecker quiver, where $X'_i$ is the spherical object corresponding to $s'_i$;
- there is a subsurface $\mathbf{Y}_\triangle$ of $\mathbf{S}'_\triangle$, with inherited triangulation from $\mathbf{T}'$ (whose dual consists of $s'_1$ and $s'_2$), that is isomorphic to any triangulation of an annulus with two marked points.

Therefore, by identifying $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_0)$ with $\mathcal{D}_0$ and $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ with $\mathbf{Y}_\triangle$, we have

$$\mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_0) \cong \langle \phi_{X'_1}, \phi_{X'_2} \rangle \cong \langle \mathrm{B}_{s'_1}, \mathrm{B}_{s'_2} \rangle \cong \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T}_0),$$

which implies the proposition.

□

7.2. **The one marked point torus case.** In this section, we give the analogue of Proposition 7.1 for the special case II) in Remark 3.11. The proof is almost the same, by considering a torus with one boundary component and two marked points on it for instance.

**Proposition 7.2.** *Let* $\mathbf{S}$ *be a torus with one marked point and* $\mathbf{T}_0$ *a triangulation of* $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$*. There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\iota\colon \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T}_0) \to \mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_0), \tag{7.2}$$

*sending the generator* $b_i$ *to the generator* $\phi_i$*, where* $\Gamma_0$ *is the Ginzburg dg algebra associated to* $\mathbf{T}_0$*.*

7.3. **Example: annulus case.** When $\mathbf{S}$ is an annulus, Theorem 6.7, (together with Proposition 7.1) can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 7.3.** *Let* $\mathbf{S}$ *be an annulus and* $\mathbf{T}$ *be a triangulation of* $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ *with associated Ginzburg dg algebra* $\Gamma_\mathbf{T}$*. Suppose there are* $p$ *and* $q$ *marked points on the two boundary components of* $\mathbf{S}$*, respectively. Then the spherical twist group* $\mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_\mathbf{T})$ *is (canonically) isomorphic to the braid group* $\mathrm{Br}(\widetilde{A_{p,q}})$ *of affine* $\widetilde{A_{p,q}}$*.*

*Proof.* The case $p = q = 1$ is Proposition 7.1, noticing that the braid group $\mathrm{Br}(\widetilde{A_{1,1}})$ is a rank 2 free group. The other case follows from Theorem 6.7, noticing that $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ is is (canonically) isomorphic to $\mathrm{Br}(\widetilde{A_{p,q}})$ by the geometric description of the affine braid group in [6]. □

## 8. On the simply connectedness of stability spaces via quadratic differentials

8.1. **Stability conditions.** First recall Bridgeland's notion of stability conditions.

**Definition 8.1** (cf. [2])**.** A *stability condition* $\sigma = (Z, \mathcal{P})$ on a triangulated category $\mathcal{D}$ consists of a group homomorphism (*the central charge*) $Z : K(\mathcal{D}) \to \mathbb{C}$ and full additive subcategories $\mathcal{P}(\varphi) \subset \mathcal{D}$ for each $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$, satisfying the following axioms:

- if $0 \neq E \in \mathcal{P}(\varphi)$ then $Z(E) = m(E)\exp(\varphi\pi\mathbf{i})$ for some $m(E) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$;
- $\mathcal{P}(\varphi + 1) = \mathcal{P}(\varphi)[1]$, for all $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$;
- if $\varphi_1 > \varphi_2$ and $A_i \in \mathcal{P}(\varphi_i)$ then $\mathrm{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(A_1, A_2) = 0$;
- for each nonzero object $E \in \mathcal{D}$ there is a finite sequence of real numbers

  $$\varphi_1 > \varphi_2 > \ldots > \varphi_m$$

  and a collection of triangles (the Harder-Narashimhan filtration)

  $$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 = E_0 & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & E_2 & \longrightarrow & \ldots & \longrightarrow & E_{m-1} & \longrightarrow & E_m = E \\ & \nwarrow\!\swarrow & & \nwarrow\!\swarrow & & & & & & \nwarrow\!\swarrow & \\ & A_1 & & A_2 & & & & & & A_m & \end{array} \tag{8.1}$$

  with $A_j \in \mathcal{P}(\varphi_j)$ for all $j$.

Let $I$ be an interval in $\mathbb{R}$ and define

$$\mathcal{P}(I) = \{E \in \mathcal{D} \mid \Psi^\pm_\mathcal{P}(E) \in I\}.$$

The heart of a stability condition $\sigma = (Z, \mathcal{P})$ on $\mathcal{D}$ is $\mathcal{P}[0, 1)$.

A important result by Bridgeland is that all stability conditions on a triangulated category $\mathcal{D}$ form a space $\mathrm{Stab}(\mathcal{D})$ that has the structure of a complex manifold. We are interested in the stability conditions on the 3-CY category $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$ for a Ginzurg

dg algebra $\Gamma$ arising from quivers with potential. Note that for the stability conditions on $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$ whose heart is the canonical heart $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ form a half open half close $n$-cell $U(\mathcal{H}_\Gamma)$ in $\operatorname{Stab}\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$ (see [21]). Denote by $\operatorname{Stab}^\circ\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$ the connected component of $\operatorname{Stab}\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma)$ that contains $U(\mathcal{H}_\Gamma)$.

8.2. **Quadratic differentials.** Recall that $\mathbf{S}$ is a marked surface with initial triangulation $\mathbf{T}_0$, the associated Ginzburg dg algebra $\Gamma_0$ and $\operatorname{Aut}^\circ\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_0)$ is defined as in (2.7). Denote by $\underline{\operatorname{Quad}}(\mathbf{S})$ is the moduli space of quadratic differentials on $\mathbf{S}$, in the sense of [2, §6]. The main result there as follows.

**Theorem 8.2.** [2, Theorem 1.2] *As complex manifolds,* $\operatorname{Stab}^\circ\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_0)/\operatorname{Aut}^\circ \cong \underline{\operatorname{Quad}}(\mathbf{S})$.

For our purpose, we prefer to deal the space $\operatorname{Quad}(\mathbf{S})$ of quadratic differential on a fixed marked surface $\mathbf{S}$ instead of the moduli space. These two spaces of quadratic differentials differ by the symmetry of the marked mapping class group $\operatorname{MMCG}(\mathbf{S})$, i.e.

$$\underline{\operatorname{Quad}}(\mathbf{S}) = \operatorname{Quad}(\mathbf{S})/\operatorname{MMCG}(\mathbf{S}).$$

Here, $\operatorname{MMCG}(\mathbf{S})$ of a marked surface $\mathbf{S}$ is the group of isotopy classes of homeomorphisms of $\mathbf{S}$, where all homeomorphisms and isotopies are required to fix the set $\mathbf{M}$ of marked points as a set.

By [2, Theorem 9.9], there is the short exact sequence

$$1 \to \operatorname{ST}(\Gamma_0) \to \operatorname{Aut}^\circ\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_0) \to \operatorname{MMCG}(\mathbf{S}) \to 1 \tag{8.2}$$

and the theorem above can be alternatively stated as: $\operatorname{Stab}^\circ\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_0)/\operatorname{ST} \cong \operatorname{Quad}(\mathbf{S})$. Thus there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1\operatorname{Stab}^\circ\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_0) \to \pi_1\operatorname{Quad}(\mathbf{S}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \operatorname{ST}(\Gamma_0) \to 1. \tag{8.3}$$

8.3. **On the Contractibility.** In this subsection, let $\mathbf{S}$ be an annulus with $p$ and $q$ marked points on its boundary components respectively.

Suppose $p \neq q$ first. It is straightforward to calculate $\operatorname{MMCG}(\mathbf{S})$ in this case: it is generated by the two rotations along the two boundary components. More precisely, $\operatorname{MCG}(\mathbf{S})$ is the infinite cyclic group generated by the Dehn twist $\mathrm{D}_C$ along the only (up to isotopy) non-trivial simple closed curve in $\mathbf{S}$. The two rotations are the $p$-th and $q$-th roots of $\mathrm{D}_C$, denoted by $r_0$ and $r_1$, respectively. Then $\operatorname{MMCG}(\mathbf{S})$ is the abelian group with generators $r_0$ and $r_1$ with relation $r_0^p = r_1^q$, which fits into the following short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}\langle r_0\rangle \to \operatorname{MMCG}(\mathbf{S}) \to \mathbb{Z}_q\langle r_1\rangle \to 1.$$

Besides $\underline{\xi} = r_0 \cdot r_1$ is the universal rotation that corresponds to [1].

Next, as shown in [2, §12.3],

$$\underline{\operatorname{Quad}}(\mathbf{S}) \cong \operatorname{Conf}^n(\mathbb{C}^*)/\mathbb{Z}_q, \tag{8.4}$$

where $\operatorname{Conf}^n(\mathbb{C}^*)$ denotes the configuration space of $n$ distinct points in $\mathbb{C}^*$ and $\mathbb{Z}_q$ acts by multiplication by a $q$-th root of unity. By the description of $\operatorname{Br}(\widetilde{A_{p,q}})$ in [6], there is short exact sequence

$$1 \to \operatorname{Br}(\widetilde{A_{p,q}}) \to \pi_1\operatorname{Conf}^n(\mathbb{C}^*) \to \mathbb{Z} \to 1. \tag{8.5}$$

As $\underline{\mathrm{Quad}}(\mathbf{S})$ consists of differentials of the form

$$\Theta(z)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}(z-z_i)\frac{\mathrm{d}\, z^{\otimes 2}}{z^{p+2}},\quad z_i\in\mathbb{C}^*,\quad z_i\neq z_j$$

and considered modulo the action of $\mathbb{C}$ rescaling $z$. Note that $z_i$ corresponds to the decorating points in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$, the rotation $r_q$ becomes the $\mathbb{Z}_q$ symmetry at the origin and the rotation $r_p$ becomes the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ symmetry at the infinity. Thus, combining the short exact sequences above plus a calculation for $\pi_1$ of (8.4), we have the commutative diagram (8.6), which implies the dashed short exact sequence.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 1 & & 1 & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \vdots & & & & \\
 & & \widetilde{\mathrm{Br}(A_{p,q})} & = & \widetilde{\mathrm{Br}(A_{p,q})} & \to & 1 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \vdots & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \to & \pi_1\,\mathrm{Conf}^n(\mathbb{C}^*) & \to & \pi_1\underline{\mathrm{Quad}}(\mathbf{S}) & \to & \mathbb{Z}_q & \to & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \vdots & & \| & & \\
1 & \to & \mathbb{Z} & \to & \mathrm{MMCG}(\mathbf{S}) & \to & \mathbb{Z}_q & \to & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \vdots & & & & \\
 & & 1 & & 1 & & & &
\end{array}\qquad (8.6)$$

Therefore we have $\pi_1\,\mathrm{Quad}(\mathbf{S})=\widetilde{\mathrm{Br}(A_{p,q})}$ and hence $\pi_1\,\mathrm{Quad}(\mathbf{S})\cong \mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_0)$ by Theorem 7.3. Further, by examining the generators, we deduce that the surjective map $\pi$ in (8.3) gives the isomorphism above. Thus, $\mathrm{Stab}^\circ\,\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_0)$ is simply connected.

In the case when $p=q$, $\mathrm{MMCG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ contains one more $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry. In the same way, we will have $\pi_1\,\mathrm{Quad}(\mathbf{S})=\widetilde{\mathrm{Br}(A_{p,q})}$ and simply connectedness.

**Theorem 8.3.** *Let* $\mathbf{S}$ *be an annulus (without punctures) and* $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_0)$ *be the 3-CY category associated to some triangulation of* $\mathbf{S}$. *Then* $\mathrm{Stab}^\circ\,\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_0)$ *is the universal cover of* $\mathrm{Conf}^n(\mathbb{C}^*)$.

By [5, Theorem 2.7], the universal cover of $\mathrm{Conf}^n(\mathbb{C}^*)$ is contractible. So we have:

**Corollary 8.4.** $\mathrm{Stab}^\circ\,\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_0)$ *is contractible.*

## 9. Proof of Proposition 5.9

9.1. **Preparation.** See [25, Appendix A] for the details of homological algebra calculations for string models. The key results are the following two.

**Proposition 9.1.** [25, Corollary A.9] *Let* $\eta_1,\eta_2$ *be two closed arcs in* $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ *that share an endpoint. Fix orientations of them and suppose that they share the starting endpoint* $Z\in\triangle$. *Then there is an unique non-zero homomorphism* $\zeta_{12}^Z\in\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_\alpha,X_\beta)$ *induced by* $Z$. *Moreover, suppose there is another closed arc* $\eta_3$ *starting at* $Z$, *such that* $\eta_1,\eta_3,\eta_2$ *are in a clockwise order at* $Z$. *Then* $\zeta_{12}^Z$ *is the composition of* $\zeta_{13}^Z$ *with* $\zeta_{23}^Z$.

**Proposition 9.2.** [25, Proposition A.11] *Let $\alpha, \beta$ and $\eta$ be three closed arcs in $\overline{\mathrm{CA}}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ such that at least one of them is in $\mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$. Moreover, we require that $\alpha, \eta, \beta$ are in a clockwise order to form a contractible triangle in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$. Then there are representatives $X_?$ in $\widetilde{X}(?)$ for $? = \alpha, \beta, \eta$ such that there is a non-trivial triangle*

$$X_\beta \to X_\eta \to X_\alpha \to X_\beta[1], \tag{9.1}$$

*where the homomorphisms are of the form in Proposition 9.1.*

**Remark 9.3.** Note that, in the setting of Lemma 3.14, the line segment $l$, from $Z_0$ to some point $Y$ in $\eta$ (cf. Figure 8), plays an important role. We will say $\eta$ decomposes into $\alpha$ and $\beta$ w.r.t. $Z_0$ (or w.r.t. $l$).

9.2. **First induction.** Use double induction, the first on

$$I = \mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta_1) + \mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta_2). \tag{9.2}$$

The starting case is when $I = 2$. Then both $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ are in $\mathbf{T}_0^*$, since the only general closed arcs that has intersection one with $\mathbf{T}_0$ are the arcs in $\mathbf{T}_0^*$. It is straightforward to check the proposition in this case. Now suppose that the proposition holds for any $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ with $I \leq r$ and consider the case when $I = r + 1$.

First, let us prove 1° for $X_\eta$ (where $\eta = \eta_1$ or $\eta = \eta_2$ in $\mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$). Apply Lemma 3.14 to decomposes $\eta$ into $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $\mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ with $\mathrm{Int}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2}$ (cf. Figure 8). Then by Proposition 9.2, there is a non-trivial triangle (9.1) By the inductive assumption, the proposition holds for $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Then $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ implies $X_\alpha, X_\beta \in \mathrm{Sph}(\Gamma_0)$ and $\mathrm{Int}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2}$ implies

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_\alpha, X_\beta) = 1.$$

Hence (9.1) implies

$$X_\eta = \phi_{X_\alpha}(X_\beta) = \phi^{-1}_{X_\beta}(X_\alpha), \tag{9.3}$$

and thus $X_\eta$ is also in $\mathrm{Sph}(\Gamma_0)$.

9.3. **Second induction.** Next, we prove 2° and 3°. Use the second induction on

$$\min\{\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta_1), \mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta_2)\}.$$

Without loss of generality, suppose that

$$\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta_1) \leq \mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta_2). \tag{9.4}$$

The starting case is when $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta_1) = 1$,which implies that $\eta_1 = s_i$ for some $i$. Note that we have $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta_2) > 1$. Applying Lemma 3.14 to decompose $\eta_2$ into $\alpha$ and $\beta$, w.r.t. some decorating point $Z_0$. As above, we get a non-trivial triangle (9.1) by Proposition 9.2. There are two cases.

**Case i:** If $Z_0$ is not an endpoint $\eta_1$.

Then the inductive assumption holds for $(\eta_1, \alpha)$ and $(\eta_1, \beta)$. For 2°, we have $\mathrm{Int}(\eta_1, \alpha) = 0 = \mathrm{Int}(\eta_1, \beta)$ and hence

$$\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_\alpha) = 0 = \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_\beta). \tag{9.5}$$

Applying $\mathrm{Hom}(X_{\eta_1}, ?)$ to triangle (9.1), we obtain (5.12). For 3°, we have

$$\{\mathrm{Int}(\eta_1, \alpha), \mathrm{Int}(\eta_1, \beta)\} = \{\tfrac{1}{2}, 0\},$$

and hence one of $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_\beta)$ is zero while the other one has dimension one. Applying $\mathrm{Hom}(X_{\eta_1}, ?)$ to triangle (9.1), we obtain (5.13).

**Case ii:** If $Z_0$ is an endpoint $\eta_1$.




FIGURE 18. The four cases for possible position of $\alpha$ and $\beta$

For 2°, we have $\mathrm{Int}(\eta_1, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} = \mathrm{Int}(\eta_1, \beta)$ and thus (by inductive assumption)

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_\alpha) = 1 = \dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_\beta). \tag{9.6}$$

There are four cases (as shown in Figure 18) for the possible positions of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in the triangle $\Lambda_0$ that contains $Z_0$. Since $\eta_1$ does not intersect $\eta_2$, the line segments of $\eta_1, \alpha, \beta$ in $\Lambda_0$ are in a clockwise order. By Proposition 9.1, when applying $\mathrm{Hom}(X_{\eta_1}, ?)$ to triangle (9.1), there will be an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}^t(X_{\eta_1}, \alpha) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Hom}^t(X_{\eta_1}, \beta[1]) \tag{9.7}$$

in the long exact sequence for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, which will imply (5.12) by (9.6).

For 3°, without lose generality, suppose that $\mathrm{Int}(\eta_1, \beta) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha$ and $\eta_1$ does not intersect in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle - \triangle$ but share both endpoints. Then $\dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_\beta) = 1$. If $\alpha$ is in $\mathbf{T}_0^*$, then we have $\alpha = s_i = \eta_1$. Applying the inductive assumption to $(\eta_1, \beta)$, we have $\eta_2 = \mathrm{B}_{\eta_1}(\beta)$ and $X_{\eta_2} = \phi_{X_{\eta_1}}(X_\beta)$. Then

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_{\eta_2}) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_\beta) = 1,$$

as required. Otherwise, apply Lemma 3.14 to decompose $\alpha$ into closed arcs $\alpha'$ and $\beta'$. By applying the inductive assumption to $(\eta_1, \alpha')$ and $(\eta_1, \beta')$, we deduce that

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_{\alpha'}) = 1 = \dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_{\beta'}).$$

and hence the dimension of $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_\alpha)$ is at most 2. By Proposition 9.1, it is exactly two. Thus, it is two. As above, Proposition 9.1 implies an isomorphism (9.7), which implies

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_{\eta_2}) \leq \dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_\alpha) + \dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_\beta) - 2 = 1.$$

One the other hand,

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_{\eta_2}) \equiv \dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_\alpha) + \dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_\beta) \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2).$$

Therefore (5.13) holds as required.

9.4. **Inductive step of second induction.** To finish the proof, we only need to show that if 2° and 3° hold for $I \leq r$ or $I = r+1$ with $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta_1) \leq r_1$, then they hold for $I = r+1$ with $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta_1) = r_1 + 1$ (recall that $I$ is defined in (9.2) and we assume (9.4)). Note that the condition $\mathrm{Int}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \frac{1}{2}$ in 3° forces $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$.

Apply Lemma 3.14 to decompose $\eta = \eta_1$ into $\alpha, \beta$ w.r.t. some decorating point $Z_0$ and some line segment $l$ (see Figure 8).

**Case i:** If the line segment $l$ does not intersect $\eta_2$.

Then neither does $\alpha$ nor $\beta$. Since $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ don't share two endpoints, without lose of generality, suppose that the common endpoint of $\eta_1$ and $\beta$ is not an endpoint of $\eta_2$. Consider

$$\eta_1' = \mathrm{B}_\beta(\eta_1) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_2' = \mathrm{B}_\beta(\eta_2)$$

See Figure 19 for the two possibilities, where $Z'$ and $Z''$ could coincide. As in (9.3), we have

$$X_{\eta_1} = \phi_{X_\beta}^{-1}(X_\alpha) = \phi_{X_\beta}^{-1}(X_{\eta_1'}) \quad \text{and} \quad X_{\eta_2} = \phi_{X_\beta}^{-1}(X_{\eta_2'}),$$

which implies

$$\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_{\eta_2}) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1'}, X_{\eta_2'}) \tag{9.8}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta_1') = \mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \alpha) = \mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta_1) - \mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \beta);$$
$$\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta_2') = \mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \mathrm{B}_\beta(\eta_2)) \leq \mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta_2) + \mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \beta).$$

Thus 2° or 3° hold for $(\eta_1', \eta_2')$ by the inductive assumption, which implies that they also hold for $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ by (9.8).

**Case ii:** If the line segment $l$ intersects $\eta_2$.

Let $Y'$ be their nearest intersection to $Z_0$. Then we can decompose $\eta_2$ to $\alpha$ and $\beta$ instead as in Lemma 3.14, using the line segment $l' = YZ_0 (\subset l)$. There is a small difference here, that $Z_0$ might be an endpoint of $\eta_2$, so $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are in $\overline{\mathrm{CA}}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ (i.e. they might be L-arc instead of closed arc). Since $Z_0$ is not an endpoint of $\eta_1$, we deduce that

$$\tfrac{1}{2} \geq \mathrm{Int}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \mathrm{Int}(\eta_1, \alpha) + \mathrm{Int}(\eta_1, \beta).$$

As $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \alpha) + \mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \beta) = \mathrm{Int}(\mathbf{T}_0, \eta_2$, the inductive assumption applies to $(\eta_1, \alpha)$ and $(\eta_2, \beta)$. Then $\dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_\alpha)$ and $\dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_\beta)$ are both zero (for 2°) and are $\{0, 1\}$ for 3°. Either way, we will have $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X_{\eta_1}, X_{\eta_2}) = 2\,\mathrm{Int}(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ as required.

## 10. Further studies

10.1. **Algebraic twist group of quivers with potential.** Let $(Q, W)$ be a rigid quiver with potential such that there is no double arrow in $Q$ and $W$ is the sum of some cycles in $Q$.

**Definition 10.1.** The *algebraic twist group* $\mathrm{AT}(Q, W)$ of such a quiver with potential $(Q, W)$ is the group with generated by $\{t_i \mid i \in Q_0\}$ with the relations

1°. $t_i t_j = t_j t_i$ if there is no arrow between $i$ and $j$ in $Q$,
2°. $t_i t_j t_i = t_j t_i t_j$ if there is exactly one arrow between $i$ and $j$ in $Q$,




FIGURE 19. $\eta_1' = \alpha$ and $\eta_2'$

3°. $R_i = R_j$ for any $i, j$ (cyclic relation), if there is a cycle $Y\colon 1 \to 2 \to \cdots \to m \to 1$ in $Q$ (or a term in $W$ by definition), where $R_i = t_i t_{i+1} \cdots t_{2m+i-3}$ with convention $k = m + k$ here.

First, we show that any cyclic relations in Definition 10.1, that correspond to the same cycle $Y$, are equivalent to each other.

**Lemma 10.2.** *Let $m \geq 3$ and suppose that $t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m$ satisfies the relations*

$$\begin{cases} t_j t_i t_j = t_i t_j t_i, & |j - i| = 1 \text{ or } \{i, j\} = \{1, m\}, \\ t_i t_j = t_j t_i, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{10.1}$$

*Let $k = m + k$ and $R_i = t_i t_{i+1} \cdots t_{2m+i-3}$. Then relation $R_1 = R_2$ is equivalent to $R_1 = R_i$ for any $3 \leq i \leq m$.*

*Proof.* By the relations in (10.1), it is straightforward to check the following

$$\begin{aligned} t_i R_1 &= R_1 t_{i-2}, \quad i = 2, \cdots, m-1. \\ t_i R_{i+1} &= R_i t_{i-2}, \quad i = 3, \cdots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 = R_i &\iff R_1 t_{i-2} = R_i t_{i-2} \\ &\iff t_1 R_1 = t_i R_{i+1} \\ &\iff R_1 = R_{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

for any $i = 2, \cdots, m-1$, which implies the lemma. □

A consequence of Lemma 10.2 is

$$R_i = R_j \iff R_k = R_l$$

provided $i \neq j$ and $k \neq l$.

The following result was original in [18] for type $A$ and $D$, which is also independent obtained by Grant-Marsh for all Dynkin type.

**Proposition 10.3.** *If $(Q, W)$ is mutation-equivalent to a Dynkin diagram $\underline{Q}$, then the algebraic twist group $\mathrm{AT}(Q, W)$ is isomorphic to the corresponding braid group $\mathrm{Br}(\underline{Q})$.*

**Remark 10.4.** We believe that the proposition above also holds for affine Dynkin case, as long as $Q$ does not have double arrows. The point is, one should be able to defined an algebraic twist group for a (good) quiver with potential, which provides a presentation of the corresponding spherical twist group (or/and Dehn twist group).

10.2. **Intersection formulae.** Interpreting the intersection formulae between open/open arcs as dimension of Hom (or Ext)'s plays a crucial role in many proofs (e.g. the faithfulness of spherical twist group action of type A in [20] and the connectedness of cluster exchange graph in [24]). We have the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 10.5.** *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$. We have*

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\widetilde{X}(\alpha), \widetilde{X}(\beta)) = 2\,\mathrm{Int}(\alpha, \beta). \tag{10.2}$$

Moreover, we have another conjectured formula.

**Conjecture 10.6.** *Denote by $\mathrm{OA}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ the set of open arcs that appear in triangulations in $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$. Then there is a map $\rho\colon \mathrm{OA}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \to \mathrm{per}\,\Gamma_0$, such that any $\eta \in \mathrm{CA}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$,*

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\rho(\gamma), \widetilde{X}(\eta)) = \mathrm{Int}(\gamma, \eta). \tag{10.3}$$

We will prove these two intersection formulae in [25].



FIGURE 20. The Birman-Hilden double cover in type A (a twisted view)

## APPENDIX A. SECOND PART: TOPOLOGICAL REALIZATIONS

We give topological realizations for the followings:

- reachable rigid indecomposable in the perfect category as reachable simple open arcs in the decorated marked surface;
- the simple-projective duality for Ginzburg dg algebras as graph duality between dual-triangulations and triangulations;

- Amiot's triangulated quotient that defines the cluster category as forgetful map from decorated marked surface to the original marked surface;
- the shift functor for the silting sets in the perfect category as (total) rotation in the marked mapping class group of decorated marked surface.

A.1. **The triangulated quotient.** Recall that $\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}$ is the Ginzburg dg algebra of the quiver with potential $(Q_{\mathbf{T}}, W_{\mathbf{T}})$ associated to the initial triangulation $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_0$. There are two more categories associated to $\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}$. The first one is the perfect derived category $\operatorname{per}\Gamma$. In fact, the 3-CY category $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$ is a full subcategory of $\operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}$. The second one is the cluster category $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$, which is the quotient $\operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}/\mathcal{D}_{fd}$. Denote the quotient map by $\pi$ and there is a short exact sequence of triangulated categories

$$0 \to \mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) \to \operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) \to 0.$$

We will consider various exchange graphs of each of these categories and show the relation with exchange graph of triangulations.

A.2. **The exchange graph of hearts.** A *torsion pair* in an abelian category $\mathcal{C}$ is a pair of full subcategories $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T} \rangle$ of $\mathcal{C}$, such that $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ and furthermore every object $E \in \mathcal{C}$ fits into a short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow E^{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E^{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow 0$ for some objects $E^{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathcal{T}$ and $E^{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{F}$.

A *t-structure* on a triangulated category $\mathcal{D}$ is a full subcategory $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{D}$ with $\mathcal{P}[1] \subset \mathcal{P}$ such that, if one defines $\mathcal{P}^{\perp} = \{G \in \mathcal{D} : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F, G) = 0, \forall F \in \mathcal{P}\}$, then, for every object $E \in \mathcal{D}$, there is a unique triangle $F \to E \to G \to F[1]$ in $\mathcal{D}$ with $F \in \mathcal{P}$ and $G \in \mathcal{P}^{\perp}$. A t-structure $\mathcal{P}$ is *bounded* if $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{P}^{\perp}[i] \cap \mathcal{P}[j]$. The *heart* of a t-structure $\mathcal{P}$ is the full subcategory

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{P}^{\perp}[1] \cap \mathcal{P},$$

which determines $\mathcal{P}$ uniquely.

Recall, e.g. from [17, §3], that we can forward/backward tilt a heart $\mathcal{H}$ to get a new one, with respect to any torsion pair in $\mathcal{H}$ in the sense of Happel-Reiten-Smalø. Further, all forward/backward tilts with respect to torsion pairs in $\mathcal{H}$, correspond one-one to all hearts between $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}[\pm 1]$. Here, the partial order between hearts is defined by: $\mathcal{H}_1 \leq \mathcal{H}_2$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}_2 \subset \mathcal{P}_1$ for the corresponding t-structure. Note that $\mathcal{H} \leq \mathcal{H}[1]$ for any $\mathcal{H}$. In particular there is a special kind of tilting which is called simple tilting (cf.[17, Definition 3.6]), with respect to a rigid simple of a heart. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_S^{\sharp}$ and $\mathcal{H}_S^{\flat}$, respectively, the simple forward/backward tilts of a heart $\mathcal{H}$, with respect to a simple $S$.

**Definition A.1.** The *exchange graph* of a triangulated category $\mathcal{D}$ to be the oriented graph whose vertices are all hearts in $\mathcal{D}$ and whose edges correspond to simple forward tiltings between them. Denote by $\operatorname{EG}^{\circ}(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}))$ the principal component of the exchange graph $\operatorname{EG}(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}))$, that is the connected component containing $\mathcal{H}_0$.

**Lemma A.2.** $\operatorname{Sph}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) = \bigcup_{\mathcal{H}\in\operatorname{EG}^{\circ}(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}))} \operatorname{Sim}\mathcal{H}$.

*Proof.* By the tilting formulae in [17, Proposition 5.2 and Remark 7.2], we know that the simples in the tilts of a heart $\mathcal{H}$ is of the form $\phi_S^{\pm 1}(X)$, where $S$ and $X$ are the simples in $\mathcal{H}$. Thus, by induction, we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Sim}\mathcal{H} \subset \operatorname{Sph}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}), \quad \forall\, \mathcal{H} \in \operatorname{EG}^\circ(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})).$$

On the other hand (see [16] and cf. [17, Corollary 8.4]), two backward/forward tilting on a heart $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to the same simple (up to shift) is equivalent to apply the twist/inverse of the twist along the simple on $\mathcal{H}$. Thus, we deduce that $\operatorname{ST}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) \cdot \mathcal{H}_0 \subset \operatorname{EG}^\circ(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}))$, which implies

$$\operatorname{Sph}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) = \bigcup_{\mathcal{H}\in \operatorname{ST}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})\cdot\mathcal{H}_0} \operatorname{Sim}\mathcal{H} \subset \bigcup_{\mathcal{H}\in \operatorname{EG}^\circ(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}))} \operatorname{Sim}\mathcal{H}$$

that completes the proof. □

A.3. **The silting/cluster (tilting) exchange graph.** A *silting set* $\mathbf{P}$ in a category $\mathcal{D}$ is an $\operatorname{Ext}^{>0}$-configuration, i.e. a maximal collection of non-isomorphic indecomposables such that $\operatorname{Ext}^i(P,T) = 0$ for any $P, T \in \mathbf{P}$ and integer $i > 0$. The *forward mutation* $\mu_P^\sharp$ at an element $P \in \mathbf{P}$ is another silting set $\mathbf{P}_P^\sharp$, obtained from $\mathbf{P}$ by replacing $P$ with

$$P^\sharp = \operatorname{Cone}\Big(P \to \bigoplus_{T\in\mathbf{P}-\{P\}} \operatorname{Irr}(P,T)^* \otimes T\Big), \tag{A.1}$$

where $\operatorname{Irr}(X,Y)$ is a space of irreducible maps $X \to Y$, in the additive subcategory $\operatorname{Add}\bigoplus_{T\in\mathbf{P}} T$ of $\mathcal{D}$. The *backward mutation* $\mu_P^\flat$ at an element $P \in \mathbf{P}$ is another silting set $\mathbf{P}_P^\flat$, obtained from $\mathbf{P}$ by replacing $P$ with

$$P^\flat = \operatorname{Cone}\Big(\bigoplus_{T\in\mathbf{P}-\{P\}} \operatorname{Irr}(T,P) \otimes T \to P\Big)[-1]. \tag{A.2}$$

**Definition A.3.** The *silting exchange graph* $\operatorname{SEG}(\mathcal{D})$ of a triangulated category $\mathcal{D}$ to be the oriented graph whose vertices are all silting sets in $\mathcal{D}$ and whose edges correspond to forward mutations between them.

Note that $\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}$, consider as a set of its indecomposable summands, is a silting set in $\operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}$. Denote by $\operatorname{SEG}^\circ(\operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$ the principal component of the exchange graph $\operatorname{SEG}(\operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$, that is the connected component containing $\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}$.

A *cluster tilting set* $\mathbf{P}$ in a category $\mathcal{C}$ is an $\operatorname{Ext}^1$-configuration, i.e. a maximal collection of non-isomorphic indecomposables such that $\operatorname{Ext}^1(P,T) = 0$ for any $P, T \in \mathbf{P}$. We will only consider this structure on the cluster categories, which is 2-CY. The *forward mutation* $\mu_P^\sharp$ at an element $P \in \mathbf{P}$ is another silting set $\mathbf{P}_P^\sharp$, obtained from $\mathbf{P}$ by replacing $P$ with $P^\sharp$ in (A.1). Similarly, the we have the *backward mutation* $\mu_P^\flat$ using formula (A.2). In fact, since $\mathcal{C}$ is 2-CY, we have $\mu_P^\sharp\mathbf{P} = \mu_P^\flat\mathbf{P}$ and we will denote the mutation by $\mu_P$.

**Definition A.4.** The *cluster exchange graph* $\operatorname{CEG}(\mathcal{C})$ is the (unoriented) graph whose vertices are cluster tilting sets and whose edges corresponds to the mutations.

We will write $\operatorname{CEG}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$ for $\operatorname{CEG}(\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}))$.

**Remark A.5** (Connectedness)**.** As $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$ arises from a (taggged) triangulation of marked surfaces with boundaries, the cluster exchange graph $\mathrm{CEG}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$ is connected (due to [4] for the unpunctured case and [24] for the punctured case with $\partial\mathbf{S} \neq \emptyset$). Note that for the cluster categories arise from (tagged) triangulations of marked surfaces without boundaries, the the corresponding cluster exchange graphs are not necessarily connected.

**Remark A.6.** For each cluster tilting set $\mathbf{P}$, denote by $Q_{\mathbf{P}}$ the Gabriel quiver of $\operatorname{add}\bigoplus_{P\in\mathbf{P}} P$. Then mutation on cluster tilting sets become FZ mutation on the corresponding Gabriel quivers.

A.4. **The relations between various exchange graphs.** We list the known relations between the exchange graphs mentioned before (many results here are due to Keller-Nicolás, see [14] for details and cf. [17] for the $N$-CY version of acyclic quivers).

**(a).** There is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{EG}^{\circ}(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})) \cong \mathrm{SEG}^{\circ}(\operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}), \tag{A.3}$$

where the canonical heart $\mathcal{H}_0$ corresponds to $\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}$. Moreover, if a heart $\mathcal{H}$ corresponds to a silting set $\mathbf{P} = \{P_i\}_{i=1}^n$ under (A.3), then its simples can be labeled as $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\bullet}(P_i, X_j) = \delta_{ij}\mathbf{k}. \tag{A.4}$$

**(b).** The quotient map $\pi\colon \operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}} \to \mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$ induces an isomorphism

$$\pi_*\colon \mathrm{SEG}^{\circ}(\operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})/\operatorname{ST}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) \cong \mathrm{CEG}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}). \tag{A.5}$$

Here, we consider a 2-cycle in the quotient graph $\mathrm{SEG}^{\circ}(\operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})/\operatorname{ST}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$ as an unoriented edge, cf. [17, §9]. Denote the image of $\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}$ by $\mathbf{P}_0$.

**(c).** A fundamental domain for $\mathrm{EG}^{\circ}(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}))/\operatorname{ST}$ is the full subgraph

$$\mathrm{EG}^{\circ}(\mathcal{H}_0)\colon = \{\mathcal{H} \in \mathrm{EG}^{\circ}(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})) \,\big|\, \mathcal{H}_0 \leq \mathcal{H} \leq \mathcal{H}_0[1]\}$$

in $\mathrm{EG}^{\circ}(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}))$ (cf. [17]). In particular, $\mathrm{EG}^{\circ}(\mathcal{H}_0) \cong \mathrm{CEG}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$ as unoriented graphs. Denote $\mathrm{SEG}^{\circ}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$ to be the full subgraph of $\mathrm{SEG}^{\circ}(\operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$ that corresponds to $\mathrm{EG}^{\circ}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ under the isomorphism in **(a)**. So In particular, $\mathrm{SEG}^{\circ}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) \cong \mathrm{CEG}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$.

**(d).** There is a canonical isomorphism

$$\underline{\wp}\colon \mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}) \cong \mathrm{CEG}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) \tag{A.6}$$

such that $\mathbf{P}_0$ corresponds to the initial triangulation $\mathbb{T}_0 = F(\mathbf{T})$.

**(e).** The forgetful map $F\colon \mathbf{S}_{\triangle} \to \mathbf{S}$ induces an isomorphism (cf. Remark 3.10)

$$F_*\colon \mathrm{EG}^{\circ}(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle})/\operatorname{BT}(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}) \cong \mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}). \tag{A.7}$$

Recall that $\mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S})$ is the exchange graph of (triangulations of) $\mathbf{S}$ (which is well-known to be connected) and $\mathrm{EG}^{\circ}(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle})$ the connected component of the exchange graph $\mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle})$ that contains $\mathbf{T}$.

Using (6.4), we could extend the isomorphism in **(d)** above as follows.

**Proposition A.7.** *There is a canonical isomorphism (between graphs)*

$$\wp\colon \mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \cong \mathrm{SEG}^\circ(\operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) \tag{A.8}$$

*sending* $\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}$ *to* $\mathbf{T}$. *Moreover, we have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) & \xrightarrow{\ \iota\ } & \mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) \\
\circlearrowleft & & \circlearrowleft \\
\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) & \xrightarrow{\ \wp\ } & \mathrm{SEG}^\circ(\operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) \\
{\scriptstyle F_*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_*} \\
\mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}) & \xrightarrow{\ \underline{\wp}\ } & \mathrm{CEG}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})
\end{array} \tag{A.9}$$

*where the upper commutativity means* $\wp\circ\Psi(\mathbf{T}) = \iota(\Psi)\,(\wp(\mathbf{T}))$ *for any* $\mathbf{T}\in\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ *and* $\Psi\in\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$.

*Proof.* Combine **(b)** adn **(d)** above, we have $\mathrm{SEG}^\circ(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})\cong\mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S})$. In particular, $\mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S})$ inherits the orientation of $\mathrm{SEG}^\circ(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$. Note that $\mathrm{SEG}^\circ(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$ has an unique source $\mathcal{H}_0$ and an unique sink $\mathcal{H}_0[1]$. Lifting $\mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S})$ to $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ with respect to such an orientation, such that $\mathbb{T}_0$ (corresponds to $\mathcal{H}_0$) become $\mathbf{T}$, we obtain a fundamental domain $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{T})$ in $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ for $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)/\operatorname{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$, which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathcal{H}_0)$.

Next, we claim $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ and $\mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$ act freely on $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ and $\mathrm{SEG}^\circ(\operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$, respectively. If so, by isomorphism $\iota$ in (6.4), we can extend $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{T})\cong\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathcal{H}_0)$ to the required isomorphism.

For $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$, the freeness follows from the Alexander method. A triangulation of $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ divides $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ into once-punctured triangles(/disks); hence if $\Psi\in\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ preserves any triangulation, then $\Psi=1$ in $\mathrm{MCG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$. For $\mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$, the freeness follows from the definition of isotopy of auto-equivalences. Namely, if $\psi\in\mathrm{ST}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$ preserves any heart, then it preserves all tilts from this heart, i.e. preserves $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}))$ (and thus their simples). By Lemma A.2, this implies $\psi=1$ in $\mathrm{Aut}^\circ(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}))$ as required. □

**Remark A.8.** For the dual triangulations in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$, the flip becomes the Whitehead move, as shown in (10). Then the (principal component of) exchange graph of dual triangulations of $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ (with Whitehead moves) is isomorphic to shift quotient of $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}))$. which is, in fact, induced by the bijection $\widetilde{X}$ between closed arcs and shift orbits of spherical objects in Theorem 6.6. See Corollary A.9 for a precise statement.

A.5. **Open arcs versus reachable rigid indecomposables.** This subsection is devoted to construct a bijection between open arcs and 'silting summands', which induces the isomorphism $\wp$ in Proposition A.7.

Let $\mathrm{OA}(\mathbf{S})$ be the set of simple open arcs in $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathrm{RR}(\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}))$ be the set of reachable rigid indecomposables in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$, that is

$$\mathrm{RR}(\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{P}\in\mathrm{CEG}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})} \mathbf{P}.$$

Then there is a bijection ([4] or [24])

$$\underline{\rho}\colon \mathrm{OA}(\mathbf{S}) \to \mathrm{RR}(\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})), \tag{A.10}$$

which induces the isomorphism $\underline{\wp}$ in (A.6), i.e. $\underline{\wp} = \underline{\rho}_*$, in the sense that

$$\underline{\wp}(\mathbb{T}) = \{\underline{\rho}(\underline{\nu}) \,\big|\, \underline{\nu} \in \mathbb{T}\}.$$

We proceed to construct the analogue bijection for $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ and $\operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}$. First, we give a precise statement for Remark A.8.

Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a triangulation in $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ consisting of open arcs $\{\nu_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and its dual $\mathbf{T}^*$ consists of corresponding closed arcs $\{\eta_i\}_{i=1}^n$. As

$$\pi_* \circ \wp(\mathbf{T}) = \underline{\rho}_* \circ F_*(\mathbf{T})$$

in (A.9), let $\mathbf{P} = \wp(\mathbf{T})$ consists of indecomposables $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^n$, such that $\pi(P_i) = \underline{\rho} \circ F(\nu)$. Equivalently, $P_i$ is the unique element in the intersection

$$\wp(\mathbf{T}) \cap \left(\pi^{-1} \circ \underline{\rho} \circ F(\nu)\right) \tag{A.11}$$

for $\nu = \nu_i$. Furthermore, under the isomorphism (A.3), let $\mathcal{H}$ with simples $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be the heart corresponding to $\mathbf{P}$. So we obtain a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{T} = \{\nu_i\} & \xrightarrow{\qquad\wp\qquad} & \mathbf{P} = \{P_i\} \\ \Big\updownarrow \text{graph dual} & & \Big\updownarrow \text{proj.-sim. dual} \\ \mathbf{T}^* = \{\eta_i\} & \xrightarrow[\text{up to shift}]{\widetilde{X}} & \operatorname{Sim}\mathcal{H} = \{X_i\}. \end{array} \tag{A.12}$$

We have the following, which says the graph duality (between triangulation and its dual) corresponds to the projective-simple duality.

**Corollary A.9.** *Under the notation above, we have $\widetilde{X}(\eta_i) = X_i[\mathbb{Z}]$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.*

*Proof.* Use induction, on the minimal step of flips from $\mathbf{T}'$ to $\mathbf{T}$, starting with the case when $\mathbf{T}' = \mathbf{T}$. Then $P_i = \Gamma_i = e_i\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}$, $\eta_i = s_i$ and $X_i = S_i$. The claim follows from construction of $\widetilde{X}$. The inductive step, assume that the claim holds for a triangulation $\mathbf{T}_1$ and consider the flip $\mathbf{T}_2$ of it with respect to an open arc $\nu$. Without loss of generality, assume the flip is forward. Then we have the following:

- The flip becomes the Whitehead move of the dual triangulation $\mathbf{T}_1$ (see Figure 10). Let $\mathbf{T}_1^* = \{\alpha_i\}$, where $\alpha_j$ is the dual of $\nu$ for some $j$ and $Q_{\mathbf{T}_1}$ is the quiver associated to $\mathbf{T}_1$ whose vertices are indexed by $\{i\}$. Then $\mathbf{T}_2^* = \{\beta_i\}$ are
$$\beta_i = \begin{cases} \mathrm{B}_{\alpha_j}^{-1}(\alpha_i), & \text{if there is an arrow } i \to j \text{ in } Q_{\mathbf{T}_1}, \\ \alpha_i, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
- The flip becomes the (forward) mutation of the corresponding silting set and (forward) simple tilting of the corresponding heart. Let $\mathcal{H}_i$ be the hearts corresponding to $\mathbf{T}_i$ and $\mathbf{T}_1$ has simples $\{X_{\alpha_i}\}$. By the tilting formulae in [17, Proposition 5.2 and Remark 7.2], the simples $\{X_{\beta_i}\}$ of $\mathcal{H}_2$ are
$$X_{\beta_i} = \begin{cases} \phi^{-1}_{X_{\alpha_j}}(X_{\alpha_i}), & \text{if there is an arrow } i \to j \text{ in } Q_{\mathbf{T}_1}, \\ X_{\alpha_j}[1], & \text{if } i = j, \\ X_{\alpha_i}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the inductive assumption, we have $\widetilde{X}(\alpha_i) = X_{\alpha_i}[\mathbb{Z}]$. By Corollary 6.4, we have

$$\widetilde{X}(\beta_i) = \widetilde{X}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\alpha_j}^{-1}(\alpha_i)\right) = \iota(\mathrm{B}_{\alpha_j}^{-1})\widetilde{X}\,(\alpha_i) = \phi_{X_{\alpha_j}}^{-1}(X_{\alpha_i})[\mathbb{Z}] = X_{\beta_i}[\mathbb{Z}],$$

for $i$, when there is an arrow $i \to j$ in $Q_{\mathbf{T}_1}$. Clearly $\widetilde{X}(\beta_i) = X_{\beta_i}[\mathbb{Z}]$ also holds for other $i$, which completes the induction. □

Second, we define the reachable simples open arcs and reachable rigid indecomposables. Note that in the case for $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$, all such open arcs or indecomposables are reachable.

**Definition A.10.** Denote by $\mathrm{OA}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ is the set of reachable simple open arcs in $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$, that is

$$\mathrm{OA}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{T}\in\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)} \mathbf{T},$$

where a triangulation $\mathbf{T}$ here is considered to be a set of open arcs. Denote by $\mathrm{RR}(\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}))$ is the set of reachable rigid indecomposables in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$, that is

$$\mathrm{RR}(\mathrm{per}\,\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{P}\in\mathrm{SEG}^\circ(\mathrm{per}\,\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})} \mathbf{P}.$$

Third, we prepare with a lemma. Let $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{T}) = \wp^{-1}(\mathrm{SEG}^\circ(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}))$, which is a full subgraph of $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ (cf. **(b)** in § A.4 for the definition of $\mathrm{SEG}^\circ(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$). Then it is a fundamental domain for $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)/\mathrm{BT}$ and we have $F_*\colon \mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{T}) \cong \mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S})$.

**Lemma A.11.** *Let $\nu_i \in \mathbf{T}_i$ and $\mathbf{T}_i \in \mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{T})$ for $i = 1, 2$. If $F(\nu_1) = F(\nu_2)$, then $\nu_1 = \nu_2$.*

*Proof.* Let $\underline{\nu} = F(\nu_i)$ and $\mathbb{T}_i = F_*(\mathbf{T}_i)$ that contain $\underline{\nu}$. Consider the surface $\mathbf{S}\backslash\underline{\nu}$, which is obtained from $\mathbf{S}$ by cutting along $\underline{\nu}$ (see [24] for the procedure of cutting). Denote by $\mathrm{EG}_{\underline{\nu}}(\mathbf{S})$ the full subgraph of $\mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S})$ consisting of triangulations that contains $\underline{\nu}$. We have $\mathrm{EG}_{\underline{\nu}}(\mathbf{S}) \cong \mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}\backslash\underline{\nu})$, which is connected. Thus, there is a path $\underline{p}$ in $\mathrm{EG}_{\underline{\nu}}(\mathbf{S})$ connecting $\mathbb{T}_1$ and $\mathbb{T}_2$, which lifts, via $F_*^{-1}$, to a path $p$ in $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{T})$ connecting $\mathbf{T}_1$ and $\mathbf{T}_2$. Notice that any triangulation in $\underline{p}$ contains $\underline{\nu}$, or equivalently, $\underline{\nu}$ remains unchanged during these flips. Thus, by looking at the lifted flips in $p$, we deduce that $\nu_1$ in $\mathbf{T}_1$ corresponds to $\nu_2$ in $\mathbf{T}_2$ unchanged, as required. □

**Theorem A.12.** *There is a canonical bijection*

$$\rho\colon\ \mathrm{OA}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) \to \mathrm{RR}(\mathrm{per}\,\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$$

*sending initial arcs $\gamma_i \in \mathbf{T}$ to $\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}$ and fitting into the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{OA}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle) & \xrightarrow{\ \rho\ } & \mathrm{RR}(\mathrm{per}\,\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) \\ {\scriptstyle F}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ \mathrm{OA}(\mathbf{S}) & \xrightarrow{\ \underline{\rho}\ } & \mathrm{RR}(\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})) \end{array}. \tag{A.13}$$

*Further, it induces the isomorphism $\wp$ in (A.8), i.e. $\wp = \rho_*$ in the sense that*

$$\wp(\mathbf{T}) = \{\rho(\nu) \,\big|\, \nu \in \mathbf{T}\}.$$

*Proof.* Consider a pair $(\nu, \mathbf{T})$, where $\nu$ is an open arc in a triangulation $\mathbf{T}$ of $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$. Define $\rho(\nu, \mathbf{T})$ to be the element in the silting set $\wp(\mathbf{T})$ whose image under $\pi$ in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_\mathbf{T})$ is $\underline{\rho} \circ F(\nu)$. That is, (A.11) to be precise. Note that for any $\Psi \in \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(\Psi(\nu), \Psi(\mathbf{T})\right) &= \left(\wp \circ \Psi(\mathbf{T})\right) \cap L\left(\Psi(\nu)\right) \\ &= \left(\wp \circ \Psi(\mathbf{T})\right) \cap L(\nu) \\ &= \left(\iota(\Psi) \circ \wp(\mathbf{T})\right) \cap L(\nu) \\ &= \iota(\Psi)\left(\wp(\mathbf{T}) \cap L(\nu)\right) \\ &= \iota(\Psi)\left(\rho(\nu, \mathbf{T})\right), \end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

where $L = \pi^{-1} \circ \underline{\rho} \circ F$ satisfying $L \circ \Psi = L = \iota(\Psi) \circ L$ and the third equality follows from the commutativity of the upper square in (A.9).

To finish the proof, we only need to show that $\rho(\nu, \mathbf{T})$ is independent of $\mathbf{T}$, or equivalently,

$$\rho(\nu, \mathbf{T}_1) = \rho(\nu, \mathbf{T}_2) \tag{A.15}$$

for any $\mathbf{T}_1$ and $\mathbf{T}_2$ containing $\nu$. If so, $\rho(\nu) \colon = \rho(\nu, \mathbf{T})$ clearly satisfies all the required conditions.

First, consider the case when $\mathbf{T}_1$ and $\mathbf{T}_2$ are related by a flip. In such a case, they only differ by one close arc and so does the corresponding silting set $\wp(\mathbf{T}_1)$. As $\nu \in \mathbf{T}_1 \cap \mathbf{T}_2$, the flip is not with respect to $\nu$. Thus both $\rho(\nu, \mathbf{T}_1)$ and $\rho(\nu, \mathbf{T}_1)$ are in $\wp(\mathbf{T}_1) \cap \wp(\mathbf{T}_2)$, which implies the claim (A.15).

Second, consider the case when $\mathbf{T}_1$ and $\mathbf{T}_2$ are both in the fundamental domain $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{T})$. Then $F_* \colon \mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{T}) \cong \mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S})$ as unoriented graphs. Let $\mathrm{EG}_{\underline{\nu}}(\mathbf{S})$ be the full subgraph of $\mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S})$, consisting of triangulations that contains $\underline{\nu} = F(\nu)$. By the first case above, it is sufficient to show that $\mathbf{T}_1$ and $\mathbf{T}_2$ are connected by a path in $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{T})$ such that any triangulation in this path contains $\nu$. This is equivalent to $\mathbb{T}_1 = F_*(\mathbf{T}_1)$ and $\mathbb{T}_2 = F_*(\mathbf{T}_2)$ are connected in $\mathrm{EG}_{\underline{\nu}}(\mathbf{S})$. Consider the cut surface $\mathbf{S}\backslash\underline{\nu}$ as in Lemma A.11. We have $\mathrm{EG}_{\underline{\nu}}(\mathbf{S}) \cong \mathrm{EG}(\mathbf{S}\backslash\underline{\nu})$, which is connected. Thus, the claim (A.15) holds in this situation.

Third, consider the case when $\mathbf{T}_2 = \Psi(\mathbf{T}_1)$ and $\Psi(\nu) = \nu$ for some $\Psi \in \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$. By the former condition, we have $\rho(\nu, \mathbf{T}_2) = \iota(\Psi)\left(\rho(\nu, \mathbf{T}_1)\right)$. What is left to prove in this case is that the later condition implies $\iota(\Psi)$ preserves $P = \rho(\nu, \mathbf{T}_1)$. Consider the cut surface $\mathbf{S}\backslash\underline{\nu}$ again, which inherits all the decorating points and $(\mathbf{S}\backslash\underline{\nu})_\triangle$ inherits a triangulation $\mathbf{T}_1\backslash\nu$. Since $\Psi$ preserves $\nu$, it is actually in $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}\backslash\underline{\nu})$, or $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T}_1\backslash\nu)$ by Proposition 4.3. As $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{T}_1\backslash\nu)$ is generated by $\mathrm{B}_\eta$, for the closed arc $\eta \in (\mathbf{T}_1\backslash\nu)^*$ dual to some open arc $\gamma \in \mathbf{T}_1\backslash\nu$, we only need to show that $\iota(\mathrm{B}_\eta)$ preserves $P$. Consider $\rho(\gamma, \mathbf{T}_1)$ in the silting set $\wp(\mathbf{T}_1)$ and the corresponding simple $X$ in the corresponding heart. Then, by (A.4),

$$\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(P, X) = 0$$

and, by Corollary A.9, $\widetilde{X}(\eta) = X[\mathbb{Z}]$. Together with (6.8), we have

$$\iota(\mathrm{B}_\eta)(P) = \phi_{\widetilde{X}(\eta)}(P) = \phi_X(P) = P,$$

as required.

Finally, consider the general case. Recall that $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{T})$ is a fundamental domain for $\mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)/\mathrm{BT}$. Let $\mathbf{T}_i = \Psi_i(\mathbf{T}'_i)$ for some $\Psi_i \in \mathrm{BT}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$ and $\mathbf{T}'_i \in \mathrm{EG}^\circ(\mathbf{T})$, $i = 1, 2$. Let $\nu'_i = \Psi_i(\nu)$ and then $F(\nu'_1) = F(\nu) = F(\nu'_2)$, which implies

$$\nu'_1 = \nu'_2 =: \nu',$$

by Lemma A.11. Since $\nu' \in \mathbf{T}'_1 \cap \mathbf{T}'_2$, by the second case above, we have

$$\rho(\nu', \mathbf{T}'_1) = \rho(\nu', \mathbf{T}'_2). \tag{A.16}$$

Since $\Psi_2^{-1} \circ \Psi_1(\nu) = \Psi_2^{-1}(\nu') = \nu$, by the third case above, we have

$$\rho(\nu, \mathbf{T}_1) = \rho(\nu, \Psi_2^{-1} \circ \Psi_1(\mathbf{T}_1)). \tag{A.17}$$

Combining (A.16),(A.17) and formula (A.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(\nu, \mathbf{T}_1) &= \rho(\nu, \Psi_2^{-1} \circ \Psi_1(\mathbf{T}_1)) \\
&= \iota(\Psi_2^{-1})\big(\rho\left(\Psi_2(\nu), \Psi_1(\mathbf{T}_1)\right)\big) \\
&= \iota(\Psi_2^{-1})\big(\rho(\nu', \mathbf{T}'_1)\big) \\
&= \iota(\Psi_2^{-1})\big(\rho(\nu', \mathbf{T}'_2)\big) \\
&= \rho\big(\Psi_2^{-1}(\nu'), \Psi_2^{-1}(\mathbf{T}'_2)\big) \\
&= \rho(\nu, \mathbf{T}_2),
\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. □

**Remark A.13.** By Theorem A.12, the forgetful map $F\colon \mathbf{S}_\triangle \to \mathbf{S}$ is a 'topological realization' of Amiot's quotient map $\pi\colon \operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}} \to \mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$:

- the correspondence from open arcs to reachable rigid indecomposables ($\rho$ and $\underline{\rho}$) commutes with them;
- the closed arcs get killed under $F$, so do the spherical objects under $\pi$.

## A.6. **Rotations in marked mapping class groups.**

**Definition A.14.** The *marked mapping class group* $\mathrm{MMCG}(\mathbf{S})$ of a marked surface $\mathbf{S}$ is the group of isotopy classes of homeomorphisms of $\mathbf{S}$, where all homeomorphisms and isotopies are required to

- fix the set $\mathbf{M}$ of marked points as a set.

Note that the boundaries are NOT required to be fixed pointwise. Therefore, for each boundary component $C \in \partial\mathbf{S}$ with $m$ marked points, denote by $\xi_C$ the $m$-th root of the Dehn twist around $C$, that is, simultaneous (anticlockwise) rotation to the next marked point on $C$. Then the *universal rotation* $\underline{\xi}$, as an element in $\mathrm{MMCG}(\mathbf{S})$, is

$$\underline{\xi} = \prod_{C \subset \partial\mathbf{S}} \rho_C.$$

Here, the product is over all connected components $C$ of $\partial\mathbf{S}$.

Similar for the definition of marked mapping class group of $\mathbf{S}_\triangle$ (requires fixing the set $\mathbf{M}$ of marked points and the set $\triangle$ of decorating points as sets) and the universal rotation $\xi \in \mathrm{MMCG}(\mathbf{S}_\triangle)$.

**Remark A.15.** For the punctured case, the marked mapping class group should evolves to the tagged mapping class group, cf. [3] and [2].




FIGURE 21. Flip as universal rotation in the chosen triangulation

Recall that, under the bijection $\underline{\rho}$ in (A.10), the action $\underline{\xi}$ on $\mathrm{OA}(\mathbf{S})$ corresponds to the shift (i.e. [1], or equivalently, the Auslander-Reiten translation $\tau$) on $\mathrm{RR}(\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}))$. In other words, we have (cf. [4] and [3])

$$\underline{\rho}(\underline{\gamma})[1] = \underline{\rho}(\underline{\xi}(\underline{\gamma})), \tag{A.18}$$

for any $\underline{\gamma} \in \mathrm{OA}(\mathbf{S})$.

We prove the analogue result for $\mathbf{S}_{\triangle}$.

**Proposition A.16.** *For any $\gamma \in \mathrm{OA}^{\circ}(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle})$, we have*

$$\rho(\gamma)[1] = \rho(\xi(\gamma)). \tag{A.19}$$

*Proof.* This follows exactly the same way as in [3, Lemma 3.5] (cf. [3, Figure 6]), noticing that the (forward/backward) mutation formulae for silting/cluster tilting coincide indeed. □

Note that, under the forgetful map, $\xi$ becomes $\underline{\xi}$; under Amiot's quotient, [1] in $\operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}$ becomes [1] in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$; and they are compatible (cf. the diagram blow).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{S}_{\triangle} \circlearrowleft \xi & \xrightarrow{\rho} & [1] \curvearrowright \operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}} \\ \Big\downarrow F & & \Big\downarrow \pi \\ \mathbf{S} \circlearrowleft \underline{\xi} & \xrightarrow{\underline{\rho}} & [1] \curvearrowright \mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) \end{array}.$$

**Remark A.17** (On the maximal green mutation sequence)**.** Combining (A.8) and (A.3), we have $\mathrm{EG}^{\circ}(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})) \cong \mathrm{SEG}^{\circ}(\operatorname{per}\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) \cong \mathrm{EG}^{\circ}(\mathbf{S}_{\triangle})$. Further, Proposition A.16 implies the shift (as graph automorphism) on the first two graphs corresponds to the universal rotation on the third one. This provide a topological/combinatorial model for studying the existence of Keller's (maximal) green mutation sequences.

More precisely, consider a quiver with potential $(Q, W)$ that corresponds to a heart $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}})$. A maximal green mutation sequence for $(Q, W)$ corresponds (by [12] and cf. [23]) to a forward simple tilting sequence from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{H}[1]$ in $\mathrm{EG}^{\circ}(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}))$. By the isomorphisms above, this is equivalent to a forward flip sequence from $\mathbf{T}$ to its universal rotation $\xi(\mathbf{T})$, where $\mathbf{T}$ is the triangulation that corresponds to $\mathcal{H}$.

## References


[1] C. Brav, and H. Thomas, Braid groups and Kleinian singularities, *Math. Ann.* **351** (2011) 1005–1017. (arXiv:0910.2521)

[2] T. Bridgeland, and I. Smith, Quadratic differentials as stability conditions, to appear in *Publ. Math. de l'IHÉS*, arXiv:1302.7030.

[3] T. Brüstle and Y. Qiu, Auslander-Reiten translation on marked surfaces, *Mathematische Zeitschrift* **279** (2015) 1103–1120. (arXiv:1212.0007)

[4] T. Brüstle and J. Zhang, On the cluster category of a marked surface, to appear in *Alg. and Num. Theory*. (arXiv:1005.2422).

[5] F. Cohen and J. Pakianathan, Configuration spaces and braid groups.

[6] R.P. Kent IV and D. Peifer, A geometric and algebraic description of annular braid groups, *Int. J. Algebra Comput.* **12** (2002) 85–97.

[7] B. Farb and D. Margalit, A primer on mapping class groups, *Princeton University Press* 2011.

[8] S. Fomin, M. Shapiro and D. Thurston, Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces, part I: Cluster complexes, *Acta Mathematica* **201** (2008) 83–146. (arXiv:math/0608367)

[9] D Gaiotto, and G Moore and A Neitzke, Wall-crossing, Hitchin systems and the WKB approximation, (arXiv:0907.3987)

[10] C. Geiss, D. Labardini-Fragoso, and J.Schröer, The representation type of Jacobian algebras, arXiv:1308.0478.

[11] A. Ishii, K. Ueda, and H. Uehara, Stability conditions on $A_n$-singularities, *J. Diff. Geom.* **84** (2010) 87–126.

[12] B. Keller, On cluster theory and quantum dilogarithm, arXiv:1102.4148.

[13] B. Keller and M.Van den Bergh, Debounded Calabi-Yau completions, *J. reine angew. Math.* **654** (2011) 125–180. (arXiv:0908.3499)

[14] B. Keller, Cluster algebras and derived categories, arXiv:1202.4161.

[15] B. Keller and P. Nicolás, Weight structures and simple dg modules for positive dg algebras, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2013) 1028–1078. (arXiv:1009.5904)

[16] B. Keller, and D. Yang, Derived equivalences from mutations of quivers with potential, *Adv. Math.* **226** (2011) 2118–2168. (arXiv:0906.0761)

[17] A. King and Y. Qiu, Exchange graphs and Ext quivers, arXiv:1109.2924, to appear in *Adv. Math.*.

[18] A. King and Y. Qiu, Twisted surface I: cluster of curves, in preparation.

[19] D. Krammer, A class of Garside groupoid structures on the pure braid group, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** (2008) 4029–4061. (arXiv:math/0509165)

[20] M. Khovanov and P. Seidel, Quivers, floer cohomology and braid group actions, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (2002) 203–271. (arXiv:math/0006056)

[21] Y. Qiu, Stability conditions and quantum dilogarithm identities for Dynkin quivers, *Adv. Math.* **269** (2015) 220–264.. (arXiv:1111.1010)

[22] Y. Qiu and J. Woolf, Contractible stability spaces and faithful braid group actions, arXiv:?in preparation.

[23] Y. Qiu, C-sortable words as green mutation sequences, accepted by *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* arXiv:1205.0034.

[24] Y. Qiu and Y. Zhou, Cluster categories for marked surfaces: punctured case, arXiv:1311.0010.

[25] Y. Qiu and Y. Zhou, Decorated marked surfaces II: Intersection numbers and dimensions of Homs, arXiv:1411.4003.

[26] P. Seidel and R. Thomas, Braid group actions on derived categories of coherent sheaves, *Duke Math. J.* **108** (2001) 37–108. (arXiv:math/0001043)

[27] I. Smith, Quiver algebra and Fukaya categories, arXiv:1309.0452.



Yu Qiu, Institutt for matematiske fag, NTNU, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway.
*E-mail address*: Yu.Qiu@Bath.edu